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FOREWORD

The Diploma in Secondary Education Examination (DSEE) marks the end of two years pre-service training of professional secondary teachers. It gives light on effectiveness of training and skills gained in both academics and pedagogy. The candidates’ answers to the examination questions are strong indicators of how the training was conducted. It shows the competence of student teachers in teaching and learning of Ordinary level Physics. The candidates’ items response analysis report on Physics subject DSEE 2019 was prepared in order to give feedback to student teachers, tutors, parents, policy makers and the public in general on how the candidates responded to the examination questions.

The report highlights some of the factors which made the candidates fail to score high marks in the questions. The factors include failure to identify the task of the question, inadequate Physics skills and inadequate content knowledge in academics and pedagogy topics. The views provided will help educational administrators, college principals, school managers, tutors, student teachers and other educational stakeholders to identify proper measures to be taken in order to improve the training of teachers and candidates’ performance in future examinations.

The National Examinations Council of Tanzania will highly appreciate observations and suggestions from tutors, student teachers and the public in general that can be used to improve future examiners’ reports.

Finally, the Council would like to thank the Examiners, Coordinators, the data processing unit and other stakeholders who participated in the preparation of this report.

Dr. Charles E. Msonde
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report is based on the analysis of candidates who sat for the Diploma in Secondary Education Examination (DSEE) in May, 2019 in Physics paper 1. A total of 1912 candidates sat for DSEE Physics examination, whereby 1,616 candidates were using University of Dodoma (UDOM) curriculum and 296 were using the Tanzania Institute of Education (TIE) curriculum.

The report is intended to measure, assess and evaluate the skills acquired by the candidates as specified in the TIE 2009 academics and pedagogy syllabus. The general performance of candidates was good as shown in the following table.

Performance of Candidates in Physics Examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Types</th>
<th>Sat Number</th>
<th>Number of Candidates and Percentage Passed</th>
<th>Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIE Curriculum (DSEE)</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDOM Curriculum (DSEE)</td>
<td>1616</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows that 100 percent and 99.2 percent of candidates under TIE and UDOM curriculum passed the examination respectively. Although there were only 55 candidates who passed at A grade, most of them scored grade B to D and 12 failed by scoring in F grade. Since the assessment for the candidates who are pursuing DSEE using UDOM curriculum was in transition; in this report, the detailed candidates’ items responses analysis was done for Physics paper 1 (DSEE 2019) based on TIE curriculum.

In the TIE curriculum, the Physics paper 1 comprised sixteen (16) questions which were categorized into three sections A, B and C. Section A composed of ten (10) short answer questions and candidates were supposed to answer all questions. This section carried a total of 40 marks. Section B and C had three
(3) questions each. Candidates were required to answer two (2) questions from each of sections B and C. These sections carried a total of 30 marks each.

The next section of the report analyses the candidates’ performance in each question. It describes what the candidates were required to do in each question, their performance levels and possible reasons to justify the observed performance. Sample answers to the questions have been extracted from the candidates’ scripts and attached to illustrate the cases presented. Furthermore, graphs or charts have been used to summarize the candidates’ performance in a particular question. The performance in each question is rated as good, average or weak if the percentage of candidates’ marks are in the range of 70 – 100, 40 – 69 and 39 – 0, respectively. These categories of performance are also indicated by using special colours, whereas the green, yellow and red colours denote good, average and weak performance respectively as seen in the graphs or charts. The report also contains appendices A and B which show the general performance in each topic and in terms of grades. Finally, the report provides some recommendations that may help to improve the candidates’ performance in future examinations.

2.0 CANDIDATES' ITEM RESPONSE ANALYSIS IN PHYSICS 1

2.1 Question 1: Measurements

This question had two parts (a) and (b). In part (a), the candidates were required to define the term fractional error and part (b) required them to deduce the fraction error of each quantity in a given formula \( T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{l}{g}} \) which is an expression of the period of oscillation in a simple pendulum.

All candidates (100%) attempted this question, out of which 78.4 percent scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 15.2 percent scored from 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 6.4 percent scored from 3.0 to 4.0 marks out of 4.0 marks allotted to this question. This indicates that the general candidates’ performance in this question was weak since only 21.6 percent scored 2.0 marks and above. The performance of the candidates in this question is shown in the histogram in Figure 1.
The candidates (78.4%) who performed poorly had inadequate knowledge on fractional error as they failed to define the term fractional error and deduced it incorrectly. Others tried to deduce the expression of fractional error but they ended with a wrong expression because they skipped the step of introducing natural logarithm. Extract 1.1 shows a sample from a candidate with poor performance.

\[ \Delta \text{f} = \frac{\text{fractional error}}{\text{actual value of the reading}} \]

\[ \Delta t = 2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} \]

Where:
- \( t \) = time period
- \( L \) = length
- \( g \) = acceleration due to gravity

\[ \text{fractional error in } \Delta t \]

\[ \Delta \text{f} = \frac{\Delta L + \Delta g}{L} \]

In length:

\[ \Delta L = \frac{2 \Delta t - \Delta g}{g} \]

Extract 1.1 is an incorrect response from a candidate who failed to explain the meaning of fractional error and to deduce the fractional error.
The analysis of the candidates’ responses shows that some of the candidates (21.6%) who scored high marks had adequate knowledge on the concept of measurements because they provided the correct meaning of the term fractional error. They also managed to deduce the fractional error from the given equation. The candidates were able to use the formula of natural logarithm which made them to derive correctly the expression of fractional error. Extract 1.2 shows a sample response from a candidate who provided correct answers to this question.

Extract 1.2 shows a correct response from a candidate who provided the correct responses to this question.

### 2.2 Question 2: Mechanics

The question had two parts (a) and (b). In part (a), the candidates were required to explain what will happen to the moment of a man standing in a rotating turntable when he (i) suddenly stretches his hands horizontally (ii) raises hands upwards. In part (b) candidates were given a stem which states that; a disc of mass 2 kg and radius of 20 cm if free to rotate about an axis through its centre and perpendicular to the disc. If a force of 50 N is applied
tangentially to the disc. The candidates were required to calculate angular acceleration.

All candidates (100%) attempted the question and their scores were as follows: 95.6 percent scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 3.7 percent scored 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 0.7 percent scored 3.0 to 4.0 marks out of 4.0 marks allocated to this question. The data analysis shows that the question was poorly performed as 95.6 percent of the candidates scored below 1.5 marks. Figure 2 is a chart that illustrates the candidates’ performance in this question.

![Figure 2: Candidates’ Performance in Question 2](image)

The analysis of the candidates’ item response indicates that, the candidates who performed poorly were unable to explain the effect to moment of inertia when a man’s hands are stretched horizontally and raised upwards while he is on a rotating table. They had insufficient knowledge on the factors that affect the moment of inertia. The candidates did not retrieve the fact that the moment of inertia depends on radius and hence the man’s hands saved the same purpose as the radii. Some of them confused the concept of centripetal acceleration with angular acceleration of a disc. This led them to apply centripetal force formula to calculate moment of inertia of a disc. As a result, they failed to obtain the value of angular acceleration of the rotating disc. Extract 2.1 shows a sample response from a script of a candidate with weak performance.
Extract 2.1 is a sample of a response from a candidate who failed to answer correctly the question.

On contrary, some candidates managed to respond correctly to this question. Their responses were related to the factors that affect the moment of inertia. They were able to explain the effect of stretching hands horizontally and rising hands upwards to the moment of inertia when a man standing on a turning table. These candidates also, applied the right formula to calculate the angular acceleration of a rotating disc. Extract 2.2 is a sample response from one of the candidates with good performance.
Extract 2.2 shows a correct response from a candidate who had knowledge on the sub topic of Rotation of a rigid body.

### 2.3 Question 3: Properties of Matter

The question consisted of two parts (a) and (b). Part (a), required the candidates to define the terms (i) inter atomic force (ii) perfectly elastic body and (iii) brittle materials as used in strength of materials. Part (b) required them to explain why a spring balance shows wrong readings after it has been used for a long time.

The question was attempted by all (100%) of the candidates whose scores were as follows: 54.4 percent scored 0 to 1.5 marks, 26.4 percent scored 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 19.3 percent scored 3.0 to 4.0 marks. According to this data analysis, the overall performance in this question was average because the
majority (45.7%) of the candidates scored 2.0 and above. A graphical presentation of these data is shown in Figure 3.

![Pie Chart]

**Figure 3: The Candidates’ Performance in Question 3**

The analysis of the candidates’ performance in this question shows that some candidates (45.7%) performed well in this question since they managed to define correctly the term inter atomic force, perfectly elastic body and brittle materials and gave right explanations on how accuracy of spring balances is affected after it has been used for a long time. This implies that they were knowledgeable on the topic of Properties of Matter. The extract 3.1 is a sample response from a candidate who provided a correct answer to the question.
Extract 3.1 is good response from a candidate who provided correct answers in all parts of the question.

The analysis of the candidates’ performance in this question shows that the candidates who performed poorly had insufficient knowledge on the topic Properties of Matter. One candidate for example, defined interatomic force as; the deformed force of the elastic material and another defined it as interatomic force is the internal force of the body which resists deformation when the deforming force is applied. These responses indicate that the candidate confused the concepts of interatomic forces with that of restoring force of elastic materials. In addition, they failed to associate fatigue in metals with the weakening of the spring in the spring balance. Hence they gave incorrect
explanations why spring balances give wrong readings after being used for a long time. Extract 3.2 is an example of a response from a candidate who performed poorly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.2</th>
<th>Explanation for why spring balances give wrong readings after being used for a long time.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i)</td>
<td>Perfectly elastic body. This is the kind of material which does not lose energy or can return to its original shape after stretching and can be harmed. Example: rubber.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii)</td>
<td>A brittle material. This is the kind of material which can be harmed and can be harmed in different shapes. Example: chalk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv)</td>
<td>Spring to balance. Show wrong reading after use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extract 3.2 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who failed this question.

### 2.4 Question 4: Heat

This question had three parts (a), (b) and (c). In part (a), the candidates were required to explain the term internal energy of a system. In part (b) they were required to write the equation of the change in internal energy of the system \( \Delta U \) in terms of heat supplied to the system \( \Delta Q \) and the work done on the system \( \Delta W \). In part (c) it was given that a quantity of heat \( Q \) is supplied to a sample of an ideal gas under reversible condition. The candidates were required to explain how the first law of thermodynamics is used to describe the changes that occur if the gas is maintained at (i) constant volume and (ii) at constant pressure.

All candidates (100%) attempted this question. 94.9 percent of these candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 4.1 percent scored from 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 1.0 percent scored from 3.0 to 4.0 marks. The total marks allotted to the question were 4.0. The data analysis shows that the performance in the question was weak, because most of the candidates (94.9%) scored low marks (0 to 1.5). The histogram in Figure 4 illustrates the candidates’ performance in question 4.
The analysis of the candidates’ responses show further that, the candidates who scored (0 to 1.5) marks provided incorrect answers in most parts of the question. This implies that they lacked knowledge on the basic concept of thermodynamics. Some of them failed to explain correctly the meaning of internal energy of a system and wrote a wrong equation of change in internal energy of the system. On top of that, some candidates confused the first law of thermodynamics with Boyle’s and Charles’s laws. One candidate for example, described first law of thermodynamics at constant volume as; When a gas is maintained at constant volume, then the pressure will be proportional directly to the temperature and another one described that when a gas is maintained at constant pressure, then the volume will be directly proportional to the temperature. These candidates stated Boyle’s and Charles’s laws instead of the first law of thermodynamics. Extract 4.1 is a sample response of an incorrect answer taken from a script of one of the candidates.
Extract 4.1 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who failed to all parts of the question.

The analysis of candidates’ items response shows that the candidates who scored good marks had adequate knowledge on the topic of heat specifically, on the concept of thermodynamics. They managed to answer correctly all parts of the question. These candidates had a good understanding of internal energy of a system and its equation as well as the description of the first law of thermodynamics at constant volume and pressure. Extract 4.2 shows a sample response from one of the candidates who performed well in this question.
Extract 4.2 shows a response from a candidate who provided a correct response to the question.
2.5 Question 5: Geophysics

This question consisted of two parts. In part (a) the candidates were required to define the terms (i) primary waves (ii) secondary waves and (iii) surface waves. In part (b) they were required to mention two types of surface waves.

The question was attempted by all candidates (100%) that sat for this paper. Out of which 82.8 percent scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 11.1 percent scored 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 6.1 percent scored 3.0 to 4.0 marks, where a total of 4.0 marks were allotted to the question. The data analysis shows that the candidates’ performance in the question was weak because 82.8 percent of them scored below 2.0 marks. Figure 5 is a chart that shows candidates’ performance in the question.

![Candidates' Performance in Question 5](image)

**Figure 5:** Candidates’ Performance in Question 5

The data analysis reveals that the performance of the candidates in this question was weak because most of them had insufficient knowledge on the concept of earthquakes and surface waves. They were not able to define correctly the term primary waves, secondary waves and surface waves. They also failed to mention properly two types of surface waves. Some of them associated the types of surface waves with mechanical and transverse waves. As a result they gave a wrong description of surface waves. Extract 5.1 is a sample answer from one of the candidates with weak performance.
Extract 5.1 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who failed to define primary waves.

Furthermore, the analysis shows that the candidates (17.2%) who performed well in the question had sufficient knowledge on the concept of earthquakes. They were able to provide the correct definitions of primary, secondary and surface waves. These candidates mentioned two types of surface waves correctly. Extract 5.2 is a sample from one of the candidates with good performance.

Extract 5.2 shows a correct response from one candidate who defined primary, secondary and surface waves.
2.6 Question 6: Waves

The question demanded the candidates to explain why sound is easily diffracted through windows but light cannot.

All candidates (100%) attempted this question whereby 94.9 percent scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 1.7 percent scored from 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 3.4 percent scored from 3.0 to 4.0 marks. Generally, candidates’ performance in this question was weak because 94.9 percent of them scored 1.5 and below. Figure 6 shows a pie chart presenting a summary of candidates’ performance.

![Pie chart showing candidates' performance in Question 6](image)

**Figure 6:** Candidates’ Performance in Question 6

About ninety five (94.9%) percent of candidates with (0 to 1.5 marks) failed to give correct responses required in this question. These candidates had little knowledge on the concept of diffraction of sound waves. They were not able to link sound waves diffraction behaviours with its wavelength; the longer the wavelength the greater the diffraction. Some of these candidates confused the concept of sound diffraction with sound waves and light waves transfer. Hence they gave wrong answers to this question. Extract 6.1 shows a sample of a weak response from one of the candidate’s script.
Extract 6.1 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who performed poorly in this question.

On the other hand few candidates (3.4%) performed well in this question. They had adequate knowledge on the concept of waves especially sound waves diffraction. They were able to give correct reasons why sound waves are easily diffracted through windows. These candidates were also able to recognise that light cannot be diffracted through windows because the diameter of aperture that it passes through should have the same dimensions as the wavelength of incident. However, sound waves have long wavelength, hence windows are enough to diffract them. Extract 6.2 shows a sample of good response from a candidate’s script.

Extract 6.2 shows a good response from a candidate who provided correct answers.

2.7 Question 7: Physics Laboratory Management

This question required the candidates to explain why laboratory cupboards have locks and labels.

The question was attempted by 100 percent of the candidates whose scores were as follows: 18.2 percent scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 31.5 percent scored from 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 50.3 percent scored from 3.0 to 4.0 marks. In general the data analysis shows that candidates’ performance in this question
was good because 81.8 percent of them scored 3.0 marks and above out of 4.0 marks allotted to the question. The performance of the candidates in this question is also shown in the histogram in Figure 7.

![Histogram](image)

**Figure 7: Candidates’ Performance in Question 7**

Analysis of the candidates’ performance shows that the candidates who managed to respond correctly to the question, explained the right reasons for laboratory cupboards to have locks and labels. These candidates were aware that locks and labels on laboratory cupboards ensure security and safety of stored apparatus. Some of them added that, locks on laboratory cupboards limit unauthorized access to the apparatuses while labels ensure available the apparatus are easily recognized for easy access when setting an experiment. Extract 7.1 shows a sample of a good response from a candidate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7:</th>
<th>Laboratory cupboards have locks and labeled because of.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Safety measure; laboratory cupboards have locks to ensure that the apparatus are safe.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extract 7.1 shows a response from a candidate who provided correct justification why laboratory cupboards have locks and labels.

Some candidates (18.2%) with low marks (0 to 1.5) failed to give the correct responses needed for this question. These candidates did not understand laboratory management particularly, storage and maintenance of laboratory apparatuses. Extract 7.2 shows a sample response from a script of a candidate who scored poorly in this question.

Extract 7.2 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who performed poorly.
2.8 **Question 8: Physics Laboratory Management**

The question demanded the candidates to write four possible causes of fire in a Physics laboratory.

All candidates (100%) attempted the question, whereby 13.2 percent scored 0 to 1.5 marks, 25.0 percent scored 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 61.8 percent scored 3.0 to 4.0 marks. According to this data analysis, the overall performance in this question was good because the majority (86.8%) of the candidates scored 3.0 to 4.0 marks out of 4.0 marks allotted to this question. A graphical presentation of these data is shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8: Candidates’ Performance in Question 8](image)

The analysis of the candidates’ items response shows that most candidates (86.8%) performed well this question. The candidates’ good performance was due to by sufficient understanding of the concept of safety rules in a Physics laboratory. Therefore, most candidates were able to provide correctly four causes of fire in a Physics laboratory. Extract 8.1 shows a sample of a good response from one candidate.
Extract 8.1 shows a correct response from a candidate who provided four causes of fire in the Physics laboratory.

There were however a few candidates (13.2%) who scored low marks by providing incorrect responses to the question. This implies that they had a little understanding of Physics laboratory safety rules. Some of these candidates mentioned general Physics laboratory rules instead of causes of fire in the Physics laboratory. As a result they scored low marks (0 to 1.5) in this question. Extract 8.2 shows a sample response from a script of a candidate who scored low marks in this question.

Extract 8.2 shows a response from a candidate who failed to respond correctly to the question.

2.9 Question 9: Planning for Teaching

The question required the candidates to explain four considerations when preparing a scheme of work.

The question was attempted by all candidates (100%) that sat for this paper whereby 16.2 percent of them scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 38.2 scored from 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 45.6 percent scored from 3.0 to 4.0 marks, out of 4.0 that marks were allotted to the question. The data analysis shows that the candidates’ performance in the question was good because 83.8 percent of
them scored 2.0 marks and above. Figure 9 shows a chart presenting a summary of the candidates’ performance.

![Bar Chart](image)

**Figure 9:** Candidates’ Performance in Question 9

The analysis of candidates’ performance in the question shows that most of the candidates had sufficient knowledge on preparation of a scheme of work. As a result they were able to state correctly the four considerations when preparing a scheme of work. Extract 9.1 shows a sample of a correct response from one of the candidate’s script.
9. Considerations when preparing a scheme of work.

- A syllabus:
  - This enables the teacher to extract all the necessary informations in teaching and learning process. It includes topics, objectives.
- A school calendar:
  - It shows the events that will interfere due teaching and learning process and how to adjust them in the scheme of work.
- A school timetable (Academic):
  - It will enable the teacher how to distribute number of periods in each topic within a time.
- National calendar:
  - It shows different events such as holidays and public days that may interfere the timetable hence how to enable a teacher to overcome it.

Extract 9.1 shows a response from a candidate who provided a correct answer to the question.

The analysis of candidates’ performance shows that a few candidates (16.2%) who performed poorly had inadequate knowledge on the concept of preparation of scheme of work. Hence they failed to explain the four considerations when preparing a scheme of work. One of these candidates mentioned four considerations when preparing a scheme of work as; name of school, name of scheme of work designer, year in which scheme is to be implemented and name of class, while another candidate mentioned, general objectives, topics, sub topic and specific objectives. The responses from these candidates were irrelevant to the question asked; these candidates provided preliminary information written in a scheme of work and a lesson plan respectively. Extract 9.2 shows a sample response from a script of a candidate who performed poorly.
Extract 9.2 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who failed to explain all four considerations when preparing a scheme of work.

2.10 Question 10: Assessment in Physics

The question required the candidates to mention four assessment tools used in the teaching and learning of Physics.

The question was attempted by all candidates (100%) who sat for this paper. Out of them 2.0 percent scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 2.7 percent scored 2.0 to 2.5 marks and 95.3 percent scored 3.0 to 4.0 marks out of 4.0 marks that were allotted to the question. The data analysis shows that the candidates’ performance in the question was good because 98.0 percent of them scored 2.0 to 4.0 marks. Figure 10 is a chart that shows candidates’ performance in this question.

![Figure 10: Candidates’ Performance in Question 10.](chart.png)
The analysis of the candidates’ item response shows that majority (98.0%) performed well because they had adequate knowledge on assessment tools used in teaching and learning of Physics. They were able to mention correctly four assessment tools used in Physics. Extract 10.1 presents a sample of a good response from one of the candidate’s script.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>Assessment tools used in teaching and learning of Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Portfolio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Checklist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extract 10.2 presents a good response from a candidate who provided correct answers of the question.

Furthermore, the analysis of the candidates’ responses shows that those who scored low marks provided incorrect answers to the question. This implies that they insufficient knowledge on the basic concepts of assessment in teaching and learning Physics. Hence they failed to mention four assessment tools used in teaching and learning of Physics. For example one of these candidates mentioned assessment tools used in Physics as; syllabus, text books, reference books, teacher’s guard and teacher’s practical manual. The response from this candidate was irrelevant to the question requirement. The candidate mentioned Physics curriculum materials instead of Physics assessment tools. Extract 10.2 shows a sample response from a script of a candidate who performed poorly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>Assessment tools used in teaching and learning of Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Syllabus of Physics subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Physics text book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Physics reference book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Physics teacher’s manual and teacher’s guide.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extract 10.2 shows a response from a candidate who failed to respond correctly to the question.
2.11 Question 11: Mechanics.

This question consisted of three parts. In part (a) the candidates were required to explain why a curved path is always banked. In part (b) they were required to give two necessary conditions for an oscillatory motion to be considered simple harmonic. In part (c) they were given the equation $x = r \cos (\omega t + \phi)$ and were required to: (i) identify the meaning of each symbol in the equation (ii) write the velocity-time graph and acceleration–time graph equations and (iii) use equations in (ii) to sketch the corresponding graphs showing how velocity and acceleration vary with time.

A total of 88.9 percent of the candidates attempted this question, out of them 33.5 percent scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, 55.1 percent scored from 6.0 to 10.0 marks and 11.4 percent scored from 10.0 to 15.0 marks, where 15.0 marks were allotted to the question. These scores imply that the candidates’ performance in this question was good since 66.5 percent of them scored 6.0 marks and above. Figure 11 illustrates the candidates’ performance in this question.

![Figure 11: Candidates’ Performance in Question 11.](image)

The analysis of the candidates’ response shows that 66.5 percent of candidates performed well because they had an adequate knowledge on the concepts of circular motion and simple harmonic motion. They explained correctly why roads are banked round a curved pathway. Furthermore, they managed to
apply knowledge of mathematical skills in calculus. Therefore, they were able to differentiate the displacement equation to get velocity 
\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = \ddot{v} = -r \cos(\omega t + \phi)
\]
and acceleration 
\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = \dddot{a} = -r \omega^2 \cos(\omega t + \phi)
\]
equation, this enabled them to draw the corresponding velocity-time and acceleration-time graphs.

These candidates were also able to use the given displacement-time equation 
\[
x = r \cos(\omega t + \phi)
\]
for a particle with simple harmonic motion to state correctly the meaning of the symbol in the equation. Extract 11.1 presents a sample of a good response from one of the candidate’s script.

\[
\begin{align*}
11a/ \text{Soln} \\
\text{Roads are banked round a curved pathway:} \\
\text{Because: Firstly to avoid oversliding; The road are banked round a curved to avoid oversliding when passing through the corner with the high. Secondly: to make the car or motor-vehicle to move with maximum speed when passing around the corner or curved pathway. If help the car to pass with Maximum speed around the corner.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
11b/ \text{Soln} \\
\text{Conditions:} \\
\text{i/ For a body to execute a simple harmonic motion, the acceleration of the body must be directly toward, the mean position.}
\]

\[
\text{ii/ For a body to execute a simple harmonic motion, the acceleration \(a\) must be direct proportional to the displacement.}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
a & \propto y \\
\text{where} \\
a &= \text{acceleration} \\
y &= \text{displacement}
\end{align*}
\]

27
11 c1 Solution

Data given

Displacement \( x = r \cos(\omega t + \phi) \)

Required to find

1/ The meaning of each symbol:

\( x \) = Displacement in cm or m
\( r \) = Amplitude | Radius in cm or m
\( \omega \) = Angular Velocity in rad
\( t \) = Time in seconds
\( \phi \) = Phase angle | Phase difference

2/ Write down the velocity-time and acceleration-time equation.

Solution

Velocity-time equation.

From \( x = r \cos(\omega t + \phi) \)

\[ \frac{dx}{dt} = -r \omega \sin(\omega t + \phi) \]

But

\[ \frac{dx}{dt} = \text{Velocity} = V \]

\[ V = -r \omega \sin(\omega t + \phi) \]

\[ \therefore \text{Velocity-time equation} \quad (V) = -r \omega \sin(\omega t + \phi) \]
To sketch the corresponding graphs showing how velocity and acceleration varies with time.

- **Velocity - time graph.**
  - Given equation
  
  \[ V = -\pi \omega \sin (\omega t + \theta) \]

  **The graph of velocity vs time.**

  \[ y = \text{displacement} \]

- **Acceleration - time graph**
  - Given
  
  \[ a = -\pi \omega^2 \cos (\omega t + \theta) \]

  **The velocity - time**

  **The acceleration - time graph.**

  \[ y = \text{displacement} \]
Extract 11.1 presents a good response from a candidate who provided correct answers in all parts of the question.

The analysis of the candidates’ response shows that the candidates who scored low marks provided incorrect answers to most parts of the question. This indicates that they lacked knowledge on mechanics especially the concepts of circular motion and simple harmonic motion. Some of them failed to explain correctly. Some of the candidates were able to explain why roads are banked round a curved pathway but failed to associate a curved pathway on the road with a centripetal force which acts upon the vehicles.

Furthermore, these candidates failed to recognize that centripetal force is provided by the friction force between the tyres and the road. When the road is banked, the centripetal force which keeps the vehicle from moving in a
circular path is provided by the horizontal component of the normal force $F_c = R \sin \theta$.

These candidates also had little knowledge of mathematical skills in calculus. Due to this they failed to differentiate the displacement equation to get velocity and acceleration equations. As a result, they were not able to draw the corresponding velocity-time and acceleration-time graphs. Extract 11.2 shows a sample of a weak response from one of the candidate’s scripts.

![Graph](image)

Extract 11.2 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who performed poorly in this question.

### 2.12 Question 12: Current Electricity

In this question, the candidates were required to find (a) the current in 10 Ω and (b) the potential difference across the whole circuit given that two wires of 10 Ω and 5 Ω are parallel and arranged in series with 20 Ω wire and that the current in 5 Ω wire is 2 A.

A total of 271 (91.6%) candidates attempted this question, out of which 14.8 percent scored below 6.0 marks, 26.9 percent scored 6.0 to 10.0 marks and 58.3 percent scored 10.5 to 15 marks. These scores show that the candidates’
performance in this question was good because 85.2 percent of them scored 6.0 to 15 marks. Figure 12 illustrates candidates’ performance in the question.

![Bar Chart](image)

**Figure 12:** Candidates’ Performance in Question 12.

The analysis of candidates’ responses in this question shows that 85.2 percent of the candidates scored good marks. These candidates had a good understanding of the concepts of current electricity in particular parallel and series arrangement of resistors. Moreover, most candidates had a good understanding of the behaviour of resistors when in parallel or series connection in an electrical circuit. In addition, they were able to apply correctly Ohm’s law equation to calculate the voltage drop in a particular resistor and to deduce right equations for equivalent resistance in parallel and series arrangements of resistors. Extract 12.1 shows a sample of good responses to this question.
12. Given: Resistance \( R_1 = 10\Omega \) in parallel with \( R_2 = 5\Omega \) and resistance \( R_3 = 20\Omega \) in series.

Current \( I = 2\text{A} \) in \( 5\Omega \).

Consider:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{R} &= \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}, \\
R &= \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
R_1 = \frac{(10\Omega \times 5\Omega)}{15\Omega} = \frac{50\Omega^2}{15\Omega} = 3.33\Omega.
\]

Then in series:

\[
R = R_2 + R_3 = 3.33\Omega + 20\Omega = 23.33\Omega.
\]

\( R \) from resistance \( 5\Omega \) and current given \( 3A \):

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1 &= I_1 R_2, \\
&= 3A \times 5\Omega, \\
V_2 &= 10V \text{ since } 5\Omega \text{ is parallel to } 10\Omega, \\
P &= V_1 = V_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Extract 12.1 shows a good response from a candidate who provided correct responses to the question.

Those who scored 0 to 5.5 marks (14.8%) provided incorrect responses in most parts of the question and some of them answered some parts and skipped other parts. Generally, most of these candidates had inadequate knowledge on the topic of current electricity hence, they provided inappropriate responses in most parts of the question. Extract 12.2 shows a sample of a response from one of the candidates who scored poorly in this question.
\[ V = I_1 R_1. \]
\[ \text{but } \frac{V}{R_T} = I_1 + I_2, \]
\[ \bar{I}_2 = 2A \text{ through } 5\Omega, \]
\[ V = \bar{I}_1 + 2A, \]
\[ \frac{\bar{I}_1 R_2}{R_T} \]

`45` \[ \text{but } V_1 = V_2 = V \text{ in parallel.} \]
\[ \bar{I}_1 R_2 = \bar{I}_1 + 2A, \]
\[ R_2 = 70/3 \text{ and } R_T = 100\Omega. \]

\[ 10\bar{I}_1 = \bar{I}_1 + 2A, \]
\[ 30 \bar{I}_1 = \bar{I}_1 + 2A \]
\[ 70 \bar{I}_1 = \bar{I}_1 + 2A \]
\[ 3 \bar{I}_1 - \bar{I}_1 = 2A \]
\[ 7 \bar{I}_1 = 2A \]
\[ \bar{I}_1 = \frac{2A}{7} \]
\[ (\bar{I}_1 - \frac{1}{4}) = 2A \]
\[ (\bar{I}_1 - \frac{3}{7}) = 2A \]
\[ -4 \bar{I}_1 = 2A \]
\[ \bar{I}_1 = \frac{2A}{4} \]
\[ \bar{I}_1 = -\frac{14A}{2} = -\frac{7A}{2} = -3.5A. \]

The signs indicate the current in opposite direction.

The current through 10\Omega is 3.5A.
Extract 12.2 shows a response from a candidate who performed poorly in this question.

2.13 Question 13: Waves

The question is under the topic of waves. It consisted of three parts namely (a), (b) and (c) in which, part (a) required the candidates to state the necessary conditions for interference of light to occur. Part (b) required them to calculate the radius of curvature of a Plano-convex lens and the diameter of the twentieth bright fringe of a Plano-convex lens is used to produce Newton’s rings. If the diameter of the tens dark rings viewed by normal light of wavelength $5.00 \times 10^{-7}$ m is 4.48 mm. In part (c) the candidates were required to explain why explosions from other planets are not heard on earth.

The 19.3 percent of candidates who sat for this paper attempted this question. The data analysis shows that the scores were as follows: 82.5 percent scored from 0 to 5.5 marks and 17.5 percent scored 6.0 to 10.0 marks. No candidate scored above 10.0 marks. These scores show that the overall performance in this question was poor as only 82.5 percent performed poorly in the question. Figure 13 is a bar chart that shows the candidates’ performance in this question.
The analysis of the candidates’ item response indicates that most of the candidates (82.5%) who scored low marks in this question provided responses characterised by incorrect concepts, formulae and errors in computation. One candidate for example used wrong formula to calculate radius of curvature of a Plano–convex lens as follows: $R = \frac{4.48 \times 10^{-3}}{2}$ while another one applied $n\lambda D = ay$ which is used to determine the position of bright fringes in Young’s double slit experiment. Generally, it was noted that failure in this question was contributed by various reasons including: low ability to recall important concepts of the topic waves and insufficient knowledge in solving equations involving the radius of curvature of a Plano-convex lens and the diameters of bright rings in Newton’s rings experiment.

A number of candidates failed to explain why explosions in other planet are not heard on earth. The response from one candidate read: *Because they have low frequency and wavelength therefore the do not heard on the earth.* Another candidate wrote: *The explosions on the other planet not head on the earth this is due to high collision with air since they move at high speed and get ionized before to reach to the earth’s surface.* These irrelevant responses show that candidates had poor understanding on the concept of sound waves propagation. However candidates were supposed to say that since there is vast...
empty space (no medium) between the planets and earth, the sound waves of explosions cannot propagate up to earth. Extract 13.1 represents an example of responses from one of the candidates who performed poorly in this question.

Extract 13.1 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who performed poorly.

As for the candidates who had average performance (17.5%) they were able to provide correct answers to some parts of the question. They managed to state correctly all six necessary conditions for interference of light. In addition, they applied the right formula to calculate the radius of curvature of a Plano–convex and the diameter of the twentieth bright ring. Hence, they managed to get average marks (6.0 to 10.0). Extract 13.2 shows response from one of the candidates who got average marks in this question.
13. (a) Six necessary conditions for interference

Two or more sources of light should be monochromatic.

Two or more sources of light should be more or less the same.

The two or more sources of light should be coherent sources. This is happen when two or more waves from a single source to be after a coherent source.

The two or more sources of light should be polarized or unpolarized. Hence a light wave at can separate from one point to another. Hence in order a interference to occurs a source of a light wave should be polarized or unpolarized.

The two or more source of light should be close each other. For interference of light to occurs the two or more source of light should be close each other.

The two or more source of light should be a screen. Screen should be necessary for interference of a light wave.
13 (a) The two or more light wave fronts will be a fringe separation such as dark and bright fringe hence no interference of a light wave will occur.

(b) Data provided

\[ \text{Wavelength} = 5.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m} \]
\[ \text{Number of fringes} = 10 \text{ fringes} \]
\[ \text{Radius of a flat lens} = 4.48 \text{ m} = 448 \text{ cm} \]

Asked to find

(c) The radius of curvature of a plane - convex lens

\[ \text{Sohc} \]
\[ \text{From} \ \text{the formula} \]
\[ r = \sqrt{(n+\frac{1}{2})k} \]

Where
\[ r = \text{small radius of a flat lens} \]
\[ n = \text{number of a fringe a flat lens} \]
\[ k = \text{radius of a convex lens} \]
\[ \lambda = \text{wavelength of light} \]

here, make subject the value of \( r \)

square both side

\[ r^2 = (n+\frac{1}{2}) \lambda k \]
Extract 13.2 presents a good response from a candidate who provided correct answers to this question.

2.14 Question 14: Assessment in Physics

This question had two parts; (a) and (b). In part (a) the candidates were required to explain six characteristics of a good Physics test. In part (b), candidates were required to explain three domains of educational objectives.

The question was attempted by 98.0 percent of the candidates that sat for this paper. The data analysis shows that 4.5 percent of the candidates scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, 56.2 percent scored 6.0 to 10.0 marks and 39.3 percent scored 10.5 to 15.0 marks. The general performance in the question was good since 95.5 percent scored 6.0 marks and above. Figure 14 illustrates candidates’ performance in this question.
The analysis of the candidates’ responses in this question shows that majority (95.5%) of them performed well and scored 6.0 marks and above. Good performance was due to ability of the candidates of explaining correctly six characteristics of a good test and three domains of educational objectives. This indicates that the candidates had sufficient knowledge on the topic of assessment in Physics. Hence, they were able to give the required details of objectives from each educational domain. Extract 14.1 shows a sample response from a candidate with good performance.
A test is the means of assessing which
it is used to measure the ability of the learner. Thus when they are performing a certain task/activities, it is used to construct a test.

To construct a test, there should be an objective test item and subjective test item so as to construct a good test.

The following are the characteristics of a good physics test as follows:

1. Validity of a test refers to the ability of test what is supposed to test. So in order a test to be validly you should balance the number of a test from that is used and arrangement of space from the test open to and how much they result in a good physics test appropriate to the level of learner. The good physics test should be appropriate to the level of learners according to the age such as age so if result to make a good physics test clarity to the learners. The good physics test should be clear and simple language for easy a learner to solving their answers hence may result in the of a good physics test.
A good Physics test should be designed on objectives of what the teacher is taught or a certain topic. For a good Physics test, the test should be practical, i.e., it can help learners answer the question and may result in a test to be good.

Reliability, also called test-retest, is the consistency of a good Physics test. The test is consistent if it gives the same result on the same item. The reliability of a test is good when generally a good Physics test can make the learner to be more consistent, creative, and active hence may result in increasing the performance of the learner.

b) Educational objective refers to the objective which can show the instructor in performing a certain task or achieving goals.

The following are the domains of educational objectives as follows:
Extract 14.1 shows a good response from a candidate who provided correct responses to the question

However, some of the candidates (4.5%) performed poorly in this question. They were not able to explain correctly characteristics of a good test and the domains of educational objectives. One of the candidates for example wrote the following are domains of educational objectives for Physics subject: are: curriculum, syllabus, and scheme of work. This response indicates that the candidate confused the concept of domains of educational objectives with planning for teaching of Physics. As a result, such a candidate scored low
marks especially in part (b) of this question. Extract 14.2 shows a sample response from a candidate that performed poorly in this question.

| marks especially in part (b) of this question. Extract 14.2 shows a sample response from a candidate that performed poorly in this question. | 14 | 5 | Educational objectives. These refer to the statement describes the achievement of learners in educational system. The following are the domains of educational objectives for a physics subject. To develop concept on a certain content or topic after taught that influence the solve his or her problem in educational system. To build critical thinking in a certain content or topic about the real environment from educational program. To encourage development skills from educational system to real life such as in constructing build. |

Extract 14.2 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who wrote answers which were irrelevant to the question.

### 2.15 Question 15: Laboratory Management

The question demanded the candidates to explain how experiments/practical sessions make students participate in learning.

This question was attempted by 92.9 percent of candidates, out of which 78.9 percent had scores in the range of 0 to 5.5 marks, 17.8 percent had scores in the range of 6.0 to 10.0 marks and only 3.3 percent had scores in the range of 10.5 to 15.0 marks. The data analysis shows that the general performance of the candidates in the question was poor because majority of them (78.9%) scored below 6.0 marks. Figure 15 is a bar chart that illustrates the candidate’ performance in this question.
The analysis of the candidates’ item response shows that majority (78.9\%) of the candidates who performed poorly in this question failed to explain correctly how to engage students in different learning activities when experiments/practicals are being carried out. They did not explain how to enable students learn by doing during the practical sessions. Some of these candidates scored low marks because of explaining the importance of experiments instead of how experiments make students participate in learning. Extract 15.1 shows a response from one of the candidates who performed poorly in this question.
Extract 15.1 shows an incorrect response from a candidate who performed poorly.

A few candidates (21.1%) that performed well in this question were able to explain correctly the way activities in experiments/practicals make students participate in learning. They also highlighted some of the activities that involve students when doing of experiment. This indicates that these candidates were conversant with the concept of preparation and conducting of Physics practicals. Extract 15.2 presents a response from one of the candidates who answered this question correctly.
Extract 15.1 shows a correct response from a candidate who managed to answer the question.
2.16 Question 16: Teaching

The questions required the candidates to explain how to measure the resistance of a coil of wire by using voltmeter-ammeter method in Ohm’s law.

This question was attempted by 9.1 percent of the candidates, out of which 66.7 percent scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, 11.1 percent scored 6.0 to 10.0 marks and 22.2 percent scored 10.5 to 15.0 marks. The data analysis of this question reveals that the general performance of the candidates was poor because only 33.3 percent of them scored above average. Figure 16 is a histogram that gives a summary of the candidates’ performance in this question.

![Figure 16: Candidates’ Performance in Question 16](image)

The analysis of candidates’ responses shows that 66.7 percent of the candidates performed poorly in this question because they failed to explain correctly how to measure the resistance of a coil of wire by using voltmeter-ammeter method. Instead of drawing a complete electric circuit with a voltmeter V connected across a resistor R, some drew an electric circuit either without a voltmeter or connected in series to a resistor R. This shows that the candidates lacked a clear understanding on the concept of measuring the resistance of a coil wire using voltmeter-ammeter method. Furthermore, some of them failed to apply Ohm’s law to draw a graph of potential difference V (V) against current I (A). Hence, they were not able to determine the slope from the graph which is the resistance of the coil wire. Extract 16.1 is a sample of an incorrect answer from one of the candidate’s scripts.
16. "Ohm's law", state that the current passing through the conductor is directly proportional to the potential difference across the conductor of the wire.

Consider the diagram of circuit below.

Where

\[ I = \text{current} \]
\[ R = \text{Resistance of a coil wire} \]
\[ A = \text{Ammeter} \]
\[ V = \text{Voltmeter} \]

From Ohm's law

\[ V = I \times R \]
\[ I = \frac{V}{R} \]

Resistance of a coil of wire by Voltmeter

Ammeter Method can be measured through series connection of Voltmeter - Ammeter.
The candidates who performed well in this question understood the concept of measuring resistance of a coil wire by voltmeter-ammeter method. These candidates were able to describe correctly the procedures of using voltmeter-ammeter in measuring resistance of a coil wire. They drew a correct electric circuit and managed to apply Ohm’s law to sketch a graph of potential difference, V (V) against current I (A). The slope of the graph helped the candidates to determine the resistance of a coil wire. Extract 16.2 shows a sample response from a candidate who performed well this question.

**Extract 16.2**

Ohm’s law is a physicist who described the relationship between potential difference and the current. He was done an experiment by using the following resources/apparatus: a coil wire, ammeter, voltmeter, resistance box, a key, and dry cell and the connecting wire.

He measure the resistance of a coil of wire by voltmeter-ammeter method. Hence I will use the following circuit diagram in order to measure the resistance of a coil wire.

\[ \text{V} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{R} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{A} \\ \end{array} \]

where: \( R_h \) is \( R \) heostat
\( k \) is switch
\( A \) is ammeter
\( H \) is a dry cell

\( \text{V} \) is a voltmeter
and \( R \) is the resistance of a coil of wire, hence,

For different values of resistance at the change of rheostat, it means \( R_h \) will change and the value of current...
16. Therefore the slope of the graph will represent the value of the resistance of a coil of wire in Ohms (Ω).
3.0 ANALYSIS OF CANDIDATES’ PERFORMANCE PER TOPIC

In Physics 1 examination paper, a total of sixteen (16) questions were tested. There were a total of 7 academic and 4 pedagogical topics. These topics are: Teaching, Current Electricity, Physics Laboratory Management, Assessment in Physics, Planning for Teaching, Geophysics, Properties of Matter, Heat, Mechanics, Waves and Measurements.

The analysis of the candidates’ performance shows that three topics; Assessment in Physics, Planning for Teaching and Current Electricity had good performance because the percentage of the candidates’ scores ranged from 96.8 to 85.8 percent. The good performance was attributed to sufficient knowledge and skills on items that required explanations and mathematical manipulations. Average performance of candidates was noted in the topics; Physics Laboratory Management (63.2%) and Properties of Matter (45.6%). Some of the main reasons for average performance included failure of the candidates to comprehend the concepts in some parts of the question items and lack of mathematical skills to perform required calculations. Furthermore, the analysis shows that there were six topics with poor performance. These topics were; Mechanics (34.6%), Teaching (33.3%), Measurements (21.6%), Heat (17.2%), Geophysics (5.5%) and Waves (5.1%). Poor performance was contributed to insufficient mastery of the content knowledge, lack of formulae derivation skills, inadequate knowledge in explaining Physics facts/phenomena in relation to daily life context.

The summary of the candidates’ performance in all topics is shown in Appendix A.

4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Conclusion

The analysis of the candidates’ item response revealed that majority of the candidates performed well but some of them faced challenges in responding to the questions. The major challenges which were identified through this analysis is an inadequate knowledge which caused some of the candidates to provide incorrect responses in some parts of the questions. This may have been due to ineffective revision, poor coverage of some topics by tutors and lack of practice which could enhance candidates’ understanding.
Despite explained challenge in attempting questions in DSEE 2019 for Physics 1, it is observed that, the candidates’ performance was good. This is due to the fact that, all candidates who sat for this paper passed with grades A to C as shown in Appendix B.

It is expected that the feedback given in this report will enable stakeholders, tutors and student teachers to take the necessary measures to improve teachers’ training and candidates’ performance in DSEE Physics examination in the future.

4.2 Recommendations

In order to improve performance in future, it is recommended that:

(a) Tutors should continue applying participatory learning method by teaching through demonstrations and experiments. They should also assess all the topics as stipulated in both the academic and pedagogy syllabus to enhance the learners’ level of understanding on the subject matter.

(b) Tutors should guide the candidates to work hard to master Physics concepts involving manipulation skills since it is noted that they failed to associate description of physical quantity with formula in a particular topic.

(c) Candidates have to prepare well for the examinations. They have to read and understand theories, principles and laws of Physics to enable them to relate the acquired knowledge and skills in their day to day activities.

(d) Candidates have to concentrate on conceptual understanding of theories and the subject matter in each topic covered under both academic and pedagogy syllabus. They should not to rush to solve questions without adequate theoretical knowledge.

(e) Candidates should work hard in attaining mathematical skills so that they can be able to solve problems which include mathematical manipulations.

(f) Tutors should conduct more practical work during normal teaching hours. This will enhance understanding of content knowledge and improve student teachers’ level of competence on the subject matter.
## Appendix A

### THE CANDIDATES’ PERFORMANCE PER TOPIC IN PHYSICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Performance in Percentage</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Candidates’ score 40% or above</strong></td>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Assessment in Physics</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Planning for Teaching</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Current Electricity</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Physics Laboratory Management</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Properties of Matter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mechanics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Measurements</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Waves</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B

CANDIDATES’ PERFORMANCE PER GRADE IN PHYSICS

![Bar chart showing percentage of candidates for grades A, B, and C.]

- Grade A: 15.2%
- Grade B: 50.6%
- Grade C: 34.2%