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## FOREWORD

The National Examinations Council of Tanzania is pleased to issue this report on Candidates' Item Response Analysis (CIRA) on the Diploma in Secondary Education Examination (DSEE) 2021. This report has been prepared in order to provide feedback to tutors, students, policy makers, educational administrators and other educational stakeholders on the candidates' performance in the subject.

The report in the Mathematics subject highlights the factors that made the candidates perform well in the examination. The factors include; ability to interpret the demand of the questions and to follow instructions as well as sufficient knowledge about the concepts and principles related to the subject. The report indicates that some of the candidates scored low marks because they failed to interpret the questions requirement and they lacked sufficient knowledge and skills about the mathematical concepts which were examined, making errors while performing mathematical operations, failure to use basic formulae and applying incorrect formulae.

The feedback provided in this report is expected to enable the educational stakeholders to take appropriate measures to improve teaching and learning in this subject. This will eventually improve the candidates' performance in the future examinations.

Finally, the National Examinations Council of Tanzania would like to extend sincere appreciation to everyone who participated in the preparation of this report.


Dkt. Charles E. Msonde
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report provides the candidates response in Mathematics for the candidates who sat for the DSEE. It gives feedback to educational stakeholder on the strengths and weakness of candidates' performance. A total of 429 candidates were registered in the 2021 DSEE in Mathematics subject out of which 426 ( $99.3 \%$ ) candidates sat for the Examination.

The paper had a total of sixteen (16) questions that were divided into three sections; A, B and C. Section A consisted of 10 short answer questions where candidates were required to answer all questions. Each correct answer had 4 marks, making a total of 40 marks. Section B and C consisted of three (3) essay questions each where candidates were required to answer 2 questions from each section. Each correct answer had 15 marks, making a total of 60 marks.

The analysis on the performance for each question in section A had three categories of marks as follows: 3-4 marks; high marks, 2-2.5 marks; average marks and $0-1.5$ marks; low marks. In sections $B$ and $C$, the performance analysis for each question was also categorised into three groups of marks as follows: 10.5-15 marks; high marks, 6-10 marks; average marks and 0 - 5.5 marks; low marks. Also the analysis of performance was categorised in three groups. The groups are $70 \%-100 \%$, $40 \%-69 \%$ and $0 \%-39 \%$ for good, average and weak performance respectively.

The analysis of candidates' responses in each question was done by using data, figures and extract of sample of answers from the candidates. In the figures of analysis on performance presented in this report, there are three colours which are used to represent the performance as follows:

Good performance, $\quad$ Average performance and $\square$ Weak performance.

### 2.0 ANALYSIS OF CANDIDATES' RESPONSES IN EACH QUESTION

### 2.1 Section A: Short Answer Questions

### 2.1.1 Question 1: Differentiation

This question examined candidates' ability to apply knowledge of differentiation in determining the turning point of the given curve. The question instructed candidates to find the turning point on the curve $y=x^{2}-2 x$.

A total of 406 ( $95.3 \%$ ) candidates attempted this question. 330 ( $81.3 \%$ ) candidates passed by scoring from 2 to 4 marks. Therefore, the general performance of candidates in this question was good. Figure 1 shows performance of the candidates.


Figure 1: The performance of candidates on question 1
The data reveals further that $295(72.7 \%)$ candidates scored from 3 to 4 marks, 35 ( $8.6 \%$ ) candidates scored from 2 to 2.5 marks, and 76 ( $18.7 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks.

The candidates who scored full marks correctly applied the derivative method. They realized that the abscissa of the turning point of a curve is obtained at $\frac{d y}{d x}=0$. Therefore, they determined the derivative of $y=x^{2}-2 x$ and computed correctly the abscissa and the $y$-coordinate of the turning point, as shown in Extract 1.1.

Some candidates applied the formula for calculating the turning point of the quadratic function $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$ which is $T(x, y)=\left(\frac{-b}{2 a}, \frac{4 a c-b^{2}}{4 a}\right)$. These candidates replaced $a, b$ and $c$ in the formula with $2,-2$ and 0 respectively and performed basic operations correctly to get $T(x, y)=(1,-1)$. There were also some candidates who used the graphical method to answer this question.

| 1 | $y=x^{2}-2 x$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Soln |
|  | $y=x^{2}-2 x$ |
|  | $d y / d x=2 x-2$. |
|  | for turning poinf $d y / d x=0$. |
|  | $2 x^{2}-2=01$ |
|  | $2 x-2=0$. |
|  | $2 x=2$. |
|  | 2 2. |
|  | $x=1$ |
|  | from $y=x^{2}-2 x, \quad x=1$ |
|  | $1 \quad y=(1)^{2}-2(1)$ |
|  | $y=1-2$. |
|  | $y=-1$. |
|  | $(x, y)=(1,-1)$ |
|  | $\therefore$ The turning points is $(1,-1)$ |
|  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |

Extract 1.1: A sample of correct response to question 1.
On the other hand, a total of 76 (18.7\%) candidates scored low marks. They failed to recall correctly the condition $\frac{d y}{d x}=0$ that gives abscissa of the turning point. Also, there were candidates who used incorrect formula for finding the turning point of the quadratic equation $a x^{2}+b x+c=0$. The commonly observed incorrect formula was $T(x, y)=\left(\frac{-b}{2 a}, \frac{4 a c}{b^{2}}\right)$. Other candidates worked out to find $x$-intercepts. They assumed $y=0$, hence developed an equation $x^{2}-2 x=0$ and solved it to get $x=0$ or $x=2$. Then they replaced $x$ in $y=x^{2}-2 x$ with 0 and 2 to get $y=0$. Therefore, they wrote that the turning point is $(0,0)$ or $(2,0)$.

Other candidates established the value of the second derivative as $x$ coordinate and substituted it in the given curve to find the $y$-coordinate. See Extract 1.2.


Extract 1.2: A sample of incorrect response to question 1.
In Extract 1.2, the candidate computed incorrectly the abscissa by finding $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x}$ from $y=x^{2}-2 x$ and resulted into an incorrect turning point.

### 2.1.2 Question 2: Coordinate Geometry II

This question assessed candidates' ability to derive an equation of a parabola. In this question, the candidates were required to find the focus and directrix of the parabola; $y^{2}-4 y-12 x+16=0$.

A total of 376 ( $83.3 \%$ ) candidates attempted the question, whereby 230 ( $61.1 \%$ ) candidates scored from 2 to 4 marks. Hence, the question was averagely performed. Figure 2 is a summary of candidates' performance in this question.


Figure 2: The performance of candidates on question 2

The analysis of data shows that, 143 (38.0\%) candidates scored from 3 to 4 marks, $87(23.1 \%)$ candidates scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and 146 ( $38.8 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 .

The candidates who scored all 4 marks allotted to this question expressed correctly the given equation in standard form; $(y-2)^{2}=12(x-1)$. This indicates that they were competent on the concept of completing the square. Then, they compared to the general standard equation $(y-k)^{2}=4 a(x-h)$ to get $a=3, k=2$ and $h=1$. By applying correctly the formulae for Focus $=(a+h, k)$ and Directrix $x=-a+h$, the candidates substituted correctly the values and computed to get the required answer. Extract 2.1 shows the situation.


Extract 2.1: A sample of a correct response to question 2.
However, 146 (38.8\%) candidates got low marks. It seemed that most of them had inadequate knowledge of completing the square as they failed to
write the given equation in standard form $(y-k)^{2}=4 a(x-h)$. As a result, they got incorrect values of $a, h$ and $k$. which led to incorrect answers for focus and directrix. Extract 2.2 shows a response of a candidate who interchanged the components of the translating factor by writing $(k, h)$ instead of $(h, k)$.


Extract 2.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 2.
2.1.3 Question 3: Probability

This question examined candidates' ability to apply permutation to solve real life problems. Candidates were asked to find the number of
arrangements that can be formed using the letters of the words (a) EQUATION and (b) TUMBAKU.

The question was attempted by 377 ( $88.5 \%$ ) candidates whereby 228 ( $60.5 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks. Therefore, the general performance of the candidates in this question was average. Figure 3 shows the percentage of candidates who scored low, average and high marks.


Figure 3: The performance of candidates on question 3

Further analysis shows that 126 ( $33.4 \%$ ) candidates scored from 3 to 4 marks, $102(27.1 \%)$ candidates scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and 149 ( $39.5 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks.

There were 111 (29.4\%) candidates who answered the question correctly. These candidates realized that the word EQUATION contains eight (8) different letters. Therefore, they computed eight factorial (8!) correctly to get 40,320 arrangements. Similarly, the candidates identified that letter U in the word TUMBAKU is repeated. Therefore, they used the formula which is; number of arrangements $=\frac{n!}{r!}$ correctly and got a correct answer as shown in Extract 3.1.

| 3. | (c) F PUATION |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - 15 lo. |
|  | $p$ |
|  | Prom |
|  | Numter \& way $=$ a $n$ : |
|  | $r$ ! |
|  | bue $n=$ to tat nuwber of letter |
|  | $r=$ repeatep numkor. |
|  | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $n=58$ |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=0$. |
|  | n! $=8!$ |
|  | Number of ways $=0$ - 0 ! |
|  |  |
|  | $=40320$ |
|  | I |
|  | $\therefore$ ¢Tue number ge ways is 40320. |
|  |  |
|  | (b) TuM四 |
|  | aro to. |
|  | Number of ways $=$ no |
|  | Nar |
|  | $n \geq 7$ |
|  | $24 \cdot r=2$. |
|  | (0) $=\frac{71}{2!}=5040-2520$ |
|  | No 7 aray $=2!2.20$ |
|  | $\because$ The nuncter of waye is 2520. |
|  |  |

Extract 3.1: A sample of a correct response to question 3.
On the other hand, some candidates got zero. Many candidates used inappropriate formula. In part (a) many candidates applied inappropriate formula like; ${ }^{8} P_{0}=\frac{8!}{(8-0)!}$ to get 1 arrangement and ${ }^{8} P_{1}=\frac{8!}{(8-1)!}$ to get 8 arrangements. Also, there were candidates who answered part (b) using the inappropriate formula $S=\frac{n!}{(n-r)!\mathrm{r}!}$ as Extract 3.2 shows. This formula is for finding the number of selections and not arrangements.


Extract 3.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 3.
In Extract 3.2, the candidate computed the number of combinations instead of permutations.
2.1.4 Question 4: Probability

This question aimed at assessing candidates' ability to apply Poisson Probability Distribution formula. The candidates were given the following problem: "Suppose the items processed on a certain machine are found to be $1 \%$ defective. Determine the probability of obtaining 4 defectives in a random sample batch of 80 such items".

A total of $280(65.7 \%)$ candidates attempted this question, of which 3 ( $1.1 \%$ ) candidates scored from 2 to 4 marks. Therefore, the general
performance of candidates in this question was weak. Figure 4 gives a summary of candidates' performance in this question.


Figure 4: The performance of candidates on question 4

Although the question was compulsory, it was skipped by 146 (34.3\%) candidates. Out of 280 candidates who attempted the question, 277 (98.9\%) scored from 0 to 1.5 marks. Furthermore, 1 ( $0.4 \%$ ) candidate scored 2 marks and $2(0.7 \%)$ scored 4 marks.

Moreover, 277 (98.9\%) candidates obtained low marks. Most of these applied inappropriate formulae. For instance, some candidates applied the formula for calculating the number of combinations as they wrote ${ }^{80} C_{4}=\frac{80!}{(80-4)!4!}=1,581,580$, while other candidates computed $\frac{80!}{4!}$. Majority took 4 and 80 as number of event and 80 sample spaces respectively and applied inappropriate formulae $P(E)=\frac{n(E)}{n(S)}$ which resulted into an incorrect answer $\frac{1}{20}$, as shown in Extract 4.1. This indicates that the candidates failed to realise that the data are appropriate to Poison Distribution formula.


Extract 4.1: A sample of an incorrect response to question 4.
In Extract 4.1, the candidate ignored the given probability of an item being defective when answering a particular question.

Despite the weak performance, $2(0.7 \%)$ candidates answered the question correctly. These candidates applied the Poisson Probability Distribution formula $P(x=r)=\frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^{r}}{r!}$ and computed to get correct answer as shown in Extract 4.2. They made a correct substitution of the given information that is $\mu=n p$, where $n=80, p=1 \%=0.01$ to get the correct solution.


Extract 4.2: A sample of correct response to question 4.
In Extract 4.2, the candidate interpreted correctly all data and substituted them into the correct formula.

### 2.1.5 Question 5: Similarity and Congruence

This question assessed candidates' ability to use the congruence theorem and identify the common or given lines in the figure. They were given the following figure and were required to prove that $\triangle X Y Z$ is congruent to $\triangle X A Z$.


A total of 417 ( $97.9 \%$ ) out of 426 candidates attempted this question, 339 ( $81.3 \%$ ) of the candidates passed by scoring from 2 to 4 marks. So, the general performance of candidates was good. Figure 5 shows the performance of candidates in this question.


Figure 5: The performance of candidates on question 5

The analysis of data in this question indicates that 78 (18.7\%) candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 66 ( $15.8 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 2.5 marks while 273 ( $65.5 \%$ ) candidates scored from 3 to 4 marks.

The candidates who correctly answered this question by scoring full marks applied the congruence theorems and identified the given conditions that helped them to prove the required circumstances as revealed in Extract 5.1.


Extract 5.1: A sample of a correct response to question 5.

On the other hand, there were 78 (18.7\%) candidates who scored low marks from 0 to 1.5 . These candidates failed to remember and use properly the congruence theorem.

Some candidates drew a triangle without naming its edges and assumed it to be the final proof; others wrote the equations like $\overline{Y X}=\overline{A X}$ and $X Z Y=X Z A=90^{\circ}$ without stating the reason. Also there were candidates who drew two separate triangles and assumed to have proved the condition as shown in Extract 5.2.


Extract 5.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 5.

### 2.1.6 Question 6: Planning and Preparation for Teaching Mathematics

The question assessed candidates' ability to apply knowledge of preparation of a lesson plan. They were required to outline any four qualities of a well stated specific objective in Mathematics lesson plan.

A total of 423 (99.3\%) candidates attempted this question. 331 (78.3\%) candidates scored from 2 to 4 marks. Hence, the general performance of candidates in this question was good. Figure 6 shows percentage of candidates in this question.


Figure 6: The performance of candidates on question 6

The analysis of data shows that, $92(21.7 \%)$ of the candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 35 ( $8.3 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and 296 ( $70.0 \%$ ) scored 3 to 4 marks.

The candidates who managed to get the correct answer had knowledge about the qualities of a well stated specific objective in a lesson plan. Extract 6.1 shows the response of a candidate.


Extract 6.1: A sample of correct response to question 6.

On the other hand, the candidates who failed to respond correctly to this question lacked knowledge about the requirement of the question see Extract 6.2. Candidates in this group defined lesson plan and concluded while, others mentioned parts of a lesson plan.


Extract 6.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 6.

### 2.1.7 Question 7: Integration

This question was intended to examine candidates' ability to evaluate the integrals of the hyperbolic function. The candidates were required to evaluate $\int \sinh ^{3} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta$.

A total of 364 ( $85.4 \%$ ) candidates attempted this question, whereby 191 ( $52.4 \%$ ) candidates passed by scoring from 2 to 4 marks. This means that, the general performance of candidates in this question was average. Figure 7 displays the performance of the candidates in question 7.


Figure 7: The performance of candidates on question 7

The analysis of data shows that $173(47.5 \%)$ candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 34 ( $9.3 \%$ ) candidates scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and 157 (43.1\%) candidates scored from 3 to 4 marks.

The candidates who answered the question correctly expressed $\sinh ^{3} \theta$ as $\sinh ^{2} \theta \sinh \theta$. Then, they applied identity $\cosh ^{2} \theta-\sinh ^{2} \theta=1$ to express $\sinh ^{2} \theta \quad$ as $\cosh ^{2} \theta-1$. Therefore, they wrote $\int \sinh ^{3} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta$ as $\int \cosh ^{2} \theta \sinh \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta-\int \sinh \theta d \theta$. Under this form, the candidates applied the standard integral for $\int \sinh \theta \mathrm{d} \theta$ and the technique of function and its derivative for $\int \cosh ^{2} \theta \sinh \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta$; and resulted to the required integral $\frac{1}{3} \cosh ^{3} \theta-\cosh \theta+c$. Extract 7.1 shows one of the candidate's correct responses in question 7.


Extract 7.1: A sample of correct response to question 7.

On the other hand, 173 ( $47.5 \%$ ) candidates got low marks. Some wrote $\sinh ^{3} \theta=\frac{1}{4}(\sinh 3 \theta-3 \sinh \theta)$. Many candidates used incorrect identity $\cosh ^{2} \theta+\sinh ^{2} \theta=1$ instead of $\cosh ^{2} \theta-\sinh ^{2} \theta=1$. As a result, they ended up with incorrect expression $\int\left(1-\cosh ^{2} \theta\right) \sinh \theta d \theta$ instead of $\int\left(\cosh ^{2} \theta-1\right) \sinh \theta d \theta$. Also, there were candidates who applied definition of $\sinh \theta$. Most of these candidates failed to work out the exponential expression produced because the approach involved tedious work on exponents. This indicates that they lacked knowledge of exponents.

Other candidates straggled to express $\sinh ^{3} \theta$ in terms of $\sinh 3 \theta$ however, they failed to recall the correct triple angle formula. Most of them wrote $\sinh 3 \theta=4 \sinh ^{3} \theta-3 \sinh \theta$ instead of $\sinh 3 \theta=4 \sinh ^{3} \theta+3 \sinh \theta$. Moreover, few candidates changed the variable by letting $\mathrm{u}=\sinh \theta$. Such candidates ended up with an expression containing both $u$ and $\cosh \theta$ including $\int \frac{u^{3}}{\cos \theta} d u$. So they got a complicated integral instead of solving it.


Extract 7.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 7.
In Extract 7.2, the candidate assumed $\sinh \theta$ is equal to $u$ and substituted into the integral to become $\int \sinh ^{3} \theta d \theta=\int u^{3} d \theta$ which cannot be integrated.
2.1.8 Question 8: Coordinate Geometry II

This question assessed candidates' knowledge about the application of the general formula for ellipse. The candidates were required to find the equation of an ellipse with foci $( \pm 1,0)$ and directrices $x= \pm 4$.

The question was attempted by 348 (81.7\%) candidates. 218 (62.6\%) candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks. Hence, the general performance in
this question was weak. Figure 8 shows percentage of candidates who got low, average and high marks.


Figure 8: The performance of the candidates on question 8
The analysis shows that, 218 ( $62.6 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, $12(3.4 \%)$ scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and 118 ( $33.9 \%$ ) scored from 3 to 4 marks. The question was skipped by 78 ( $18.3 \%$ ) candidates.

Out of 218 (62.6\%) candidates who scored between 0 and 1.5 marks in this question, $159(45.7 \%)$ candidates scored zero. This failure was due to inability to remember and use the general formula of the ellipse, foci and directrices. There were candidates who drew the ellipse and wrote the equation of a circle $x^{2}+y^{2}=0$. These candidates failed to know the difference between the ellipse and a circle.

Others were writing the general equation $a^{2}+e^{2}=2 a e$. They remembered letters used when leaning the ellipse but failed to recall the general formula used. Also, some of the candidates drew the ellipse, indicating the foci and found the required equation by applying the distance formula as indicated in extract 8.1.


Extract 8.1: A sample of an incorrect response to question 8.
However, there were 117 (33.6\%) candidates who managed to get the correct answer; these were able to remember and use the general formula for the ellipse, foci and directrices. They managed to show that the general formula for the ellipse is given by $\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}=1$, whereby the foci is defined at point $( \pm a e, 0)$ and the directrices is given by the equation $x= \pm \frac{a}{e}$. From this information, the candidates were able to compute and get the correct answer, as shown in extract 8.2.



Extract 8.2: A sample of a correct response to question 8.

### 2.1.9 Question 9: Analysis of Mathematics Curriculum Materials

This question assessed knowledge of professional curriculum materials. The candidates were required to define the following terms as used in Mathematics lesson:
(a) Mathematics logbook.
(b) Lesson plan.
(c) Scheme of work.

A total of 426 ( $100 \%$ ) candidates attempted this question. There were 418 $(98.1 \%)$ candidates who scored from 2 to 4 marks, indicating good performance. Figure 9 is a summary of candidates' performance in this question.


Figure 9: The performance of the candidates on question 9

There were $8(1.9 \%)$ candidates who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, 40 ( $9.4 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and 378 ( $88.7 \%$ ) candidates who scored from 3 to 4 marks.

Most of the candidates managed to answer this question correctly because the terms that were given to define are applied in their day to day activities at the college. Extract 9.1 is a sample answer of one of the candidates.


Extract 9.1: A sample of a correct response to question 9.

On the other hand, $8(1.9 \%)$ candidates failed to get it correctly due to inability to define correctly the given terms. Extract 9.2 is a sample of a response from a candidate who failed to provide the proper definitions of the three terms.


Extract 9.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 9.

### 2.1.10 Question 10: Vectors

This question examined candidates' ability to apply the cross product rule in vectors to determine the area of the quadrilateral. The question required the candidates to prove that the vector area of a quadrilateral $A B C D$ with diagonals $\overline{A C}$ and $\overline{B D}$ is given by $\frac{1}{2}|\overline{A C} \times \overline{B D}|$ from the following figure;


The question was attempted by 307 ( $72.1 \%$ ) candidates. The general performance of the candidates in this question was weak, because there were only 5 ( $1.6 \%$ ) candidates who scored 2 marks. Figure 10 shows the performance of candidates in this question.


Figure 10: The candidates' performance on question 10

The analysis of data shows that 302 ( $98.4 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 1 mark and 5 ( $1.6 \%$ ) candidates scored 2 marks. There was no candidate who scored from 2.5 to 4 marks in the entire group.

Most of these candidates failed to apply the cross product rule as used in vectors. They were supposed to use the formula;
$A B C D=($ vector area of $\triangle A B C)+($ vector area of $\triangle A C D)$, then apply the cross product rule to get; Area of $A B C D=\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{A B} \times \overrightarrow{A C})+\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{A C} \times \overrightarrow{A D})$. Some of the candidates wrote $A=\frac{1}{2} \overline{A C} \times \overline{B D} \sin \theta$ and then directly got $A=\frac{1}{2}|\overline{A C}||B D| \sin \theta$.

There were also some candidates who used wrong formula Area $=\frac{1}{2} \times$ base $\times$ height and assumed the base to be $|\overline{A D}|$ so that the required area is $A=\frac{1}{2}|A D| \times h$ where $h$ is the height. Other candidates applied inappropriate knowledge of determinant by writing; Area $=\frac{1}{2}\left|\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\ x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 \\ x_{3} & y_{3} & 1\end{array}\right|$, as shown in Extract 10.1.


Extract 10.1: A sample of an incorrect response to question 10.
Meanwhile, there were 5 (1.6\%) candidates who used the correct formula, which is; Area of $A B C D=$ (vector area of $\triangle A B C$ ) + (vector area of $\triangle A C D)$ and manipulated to get Area $=\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{A B} \times \overrightarrow{A C})+\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{A C} \times \overrightarrow{A D})$. However, they skipped some necessary steps. Therefore, they lost some marks. Extract 10.2 shows a sample of response of one of these candidates.


Extract 10.2: A sample of response of average performance to question 10.

### 2.2 Section B: Essay Questions on Academic Content

### 2.2.1 Question 11: Algebra

The question assessed candidates' knowledge on application of sum of roots and product of roots in the problems involving roots of polynomial functions. The question had parts (a) and (b). The candidates were given that; "(a) The roots of a polynomial equation $2 x^{3}-5 x^{2}+7 x-8=0$ are $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$. Then candidates were required to find the equation whose roots are: (i) $\frac{1}{\alpha \beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha \gamma}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta \gamma}$ and (ii) $\alpha-1, \beta-1$ and $\gamma-1$. (b) "The roots of the equation $x^{2}+2 p x+q=0$ differ by 2 ", show that $p^{2}=1+q$.

The question was attempted by 359 ( $84.3 \%$ ) candidates, 178 (49.6\%) candidates passed by scoring from 6 to 15 marks. Hence the general performance was average. Figure 11 shows the performance of candidates in this question.


Figure 11: The general performance of candidates on question 11

The analysis of data shows that $50.4 \%$ of the candidates scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, $32.6 \%$ scored from 6 to 10 marks and $17.0 \%$ of the candidates scored from 10.5 to 15 marks.

In part (a) (i), the candidates were knowledgeable on how cubic equation is formed from its roots, that is;
$x^{3}-($ sum of roots $) x^{2}+($ sum of products of pairs of roots $) x-($ product of roots $)=0$. These candidates realized that $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ being roots of $2 x^{3}-5 x^{2}+7 x-8=0$, therefore, $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=\frac{5}{2}, \quad \alpha \beta+\alpha \gamma+\beta \gamma=\frac{7}{2} \quad$ and $\alpha \beta \gamma=4$. Also, these candidates recognized that the equation whose roots are $\frac{1}{\alpha \beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha \gamma}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta \gamma}$ could be simplified to get $x^{3}-\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right) x^{2}+\left(\frac{\alpha \beta+\alpha \gamma+\beta \gamma}{(\alpha \beta \gamma)^{2}}\right) x-\left(\frac{1}{(\alpha \beta \gamma)^{2}}\right)=0 . \quad$ Thereafter, the candidates performed appropriate substitutions and simplifications to get $x^{3}-\frac{5}{8} x^{2}+\frac{7}{32} x-\frac{1}{16}=0$. These candidates also used the same knowledge and skills to answer part (a) (ii), as Extract 11.1 shows. Similarly, in part (b), candidates were knowledgeable on how the quadratic equation could be formulated using its roots, that is, $x^{2}-($ sum of roots $) x^{2}+($ product of roots $)=0$. These candidates formed the equation by describing two roots which differ by 2 and applied knowledge of sum and product of roots to verify that $p^{2}=1+q$ as shown in Extract 11.1.


| II(a) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $x^{3}-(-1 / 2) x^{2}+(3 / 2) x-2=0$ |
|  | $x^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+3 x-2=0$ |
|  | $x+2 x+\frac{2}{2}-2 \times 0$ |
|  | $2 x^{3}+x^{2}+3 x-4=0$ |
|  | $2 x^{3}+x^{2}+3 x-4=0$. |
| (b) | Give: $x^{2}+2 p x+q=0 \ldots$ (i) |
|  | let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be roots of equation (i) |
|  | $a x^{2}+b x+c=0 \ldots$ (i) |
|  | compare (i) and (ii) |
|  | $a=1, b=2 p, c=q$. |
|  | Since $x^{2}-($ Sum of raks $) x+($ product of $)=0$ |
|  | $x^{2}-(b / a) x+\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)=0$ is the |
|  | standard equation. |
|  | Sin $\frac{f}{}=(\alpha+\beta)=b / a$ ald pordant $\alpha \beta=c / a$, |
|  | But $\alpha-\beta=2$ |
|  | square bth sides |
|  | $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}-2 \alpha \beta=2$. |
|  | where ${ }^{\text {a }}$, $\beta^{2}$ |
|  | - $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=(\alpha+\beta)^{2}-2 \alpha \beta$, |
|  | then: $\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta\right)^{2}-2 \alpha \beta-2 \alpha \beta=2$ |



Extract 11.1: A sample of a correct response to question 11.

On the other hand, the 181 ( $50.4 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 5.5 marks. These candidates had inadequate knowledge about the application of the general formula for roots of polynomial functions.

In part (a), the challenge was on how to express the coefficients of intended sum and product of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$. This resulted from failure of candidates to use knowledge of factors and multiples. Some candidates failed to write the given equation in the standard form before doing comparison. Other candidates failed to multiply three factors of part (a) (ii). In part (b), many candidates failed to formulate an equation from statement "roots differ by 2 ". As a result, they failed to produce correct equivalent equation containing sum and product of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ that could allow them to make substitution of $p$ and $q$ for verification as shown in Extract 11.2.

| 11. | (a) Givent that $2 x^{3}-5 x^{2}+7 x-8=0$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Roots $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$. |
|  |  |
|  | $(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)=0$ |
|  | $\left(x^{2}-x \beta-c x \beta+\alpha b\right)(x-\beta)=0$ |
|  |  |
|  | $x^{2}-x^{2} \gamma-x^{2} \beta+x \beta \gamma-\alpha \beta x+\alpha \beta \gamma-\alpha \beta x-\alpha \beta \gamma=0$ |
|  |  |
|  | $x^{3}(\alpha+\beta)$ |
|  | $x^{\beta}-x^{2} \gamma-x^{2} \beta+x \beta \gamma-x^{2} \alpha+x \alpha \gamma+x \alpha \beta-\alpha \beta \gamma=0$ |
|  | $x^{s}-x^{\gamma}-x^{2} \beta+x \beta \gamma-\lambda^{2} \alpha+\lambda \alpha \gamma+\lambda \alpha \beta-\alpha \beta \gamma=0$ |
|  | $x^{3}-(\gamma+\beta+\alpha) x^{2}+(\beta \gamma+\alpha \gamma+\alpha \beta) x-\alpha \beta \gamma=0$ |
|  |  |
|  | This equation relates with |
|  | $-5 x^{2}+7 x-8=0$ |
|  |  |
|  | $(\beta+\beta+\alpha)^{2}=5 x^{2}$ |
|  |  |
|  | $\gamma+\beta+\alpha=5$ (1) |
|  |  |
|  | $\beta \gamma+\alpha \gamma+\alpha \beta=7$ (II) |
|  | $\alpha \beta \gamma=18$ |

Extract 11.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 11.

### 2.2.2 Question 12: Linear Programming

This question assessed candidates' ability to solve the linear programming word problem and determine the optimal solution for the problem. The candidates were given the following word problem: "There two types of fertilizers $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$. $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ consists of $10 \%$ nitrogen and $6 \%$ phosphoric acid and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ consists of $5 \%$ nitrogen and $10 \%$ phosphoric acid. After testing the soil nutrient composition, a farmer found that she needs at least 14 kg of nitrogen and 14 kg of phosphoric acid for her crop. If $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ costs 600 Tsh. per kilogram (kg) and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ costs 500 Tsh. per kilogram". From this, the candidates were required to: (a) determine how much of each type of fertilizer should be used so that the nutrient requirements are met at minimum cost; and (b) state the minimum cost.

The question was attempted by 357 ( $83.8 \%$ ) candidates, of whom, 297 ( $83.2 \%$ ) candidates scored from 6 to 15 marks. This means that the general performance in this question was good. Figure 12 shows the percentage of candidates who got low, average and high marks.


Figure 12: The candidates' performance on question 12
The data further show that $60(16.8 \%)$ candidates scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, 257 ( $72.0 \%$ ) from 6 to 10 marks and 40 (11.2\%) candidates scored from 10.5 to 15 marks.

As Figure 12 shows, 11.2 per cent, equivalent to 40 candidates obtained high marks. They used $x$ and $y$ to represent number of fertilizer $F_{1}$ and fertilizer $F_{2}$ respectively. This enabled them to rewrite the given word problem into mathematical model, whereby the objective function is Maximize: $f(x, y)=600 x+500 y$ and the equivalent constraints are $2 x+y \geq 280,3 x+5 y \geq 700, x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$.

These candidates used graphical method to determine feasible region and its corner points as well as optimum point. Finally, they substituted the points into objective function to optimize the problem, as shown in Extract 12.1.



Extract 12.1: A sample of a correct response to question 12.
However, $60(16.8 \%)$ candidates scored 0 to 5.5 marks. Some of these candidates assigned variable to the incorrect quantities. They assumed $x$ represent Nitrogen and $y$ represent Phosphoric acid instead of representing $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ respectively. These candidates got incorrect constraints $0.1 x+0.06 y \geq 600$ and $0.05 x+0.1 y \geq 500$ as well as incorrect objective function $f(x, y)=14 x+14 y$. Others wrote incorrect constraints $2 x+y \leq 280$ and $3 x+5 y \leq 700$. This indicates that they wrongly interpreted the word "at least" as less than or equal instead of greater than or equal.

Further analysis shows that, there were candidates who failed to convert percentage into fraction or decimals. This led to incorrect constraints $10 x+5 y \geq 14$ and $6 x+10 y \geq 14$. Moreover, majority of this group drew incorrect graphs as they failed to use scale correctly. Extract 12.2 gives more another mistake.


Extract 12.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 12.
In Extract 12.2, the candidates computed percentage of nitrogen and phosphoric $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and used the answer as coefficients of the constraints.

### 2.2.3 Question 13: Algebra

The question was set to examine the ability of candidates to apply the standard formula in sequence and series. They were required to:
(a) use standard results of $\sum r^{2}=\frac{n}{6}(n+1)(2 n+1)$ and $\sum r=\frac{n}{2}(n+1)$; to find the sum of the first 50 terms of the series $2+6+\ldots+\left(n^{2}-n\right)$.
(b) prove that $2 b^{2}=9 a c$ where $a, b$ and $c$ are real numbers, given that one root of the quadratic equation $a x^{2}+b x+c=0$ is twice the other.
(c) find an equation with integral coefficients whose roots are the cubes of the roots of the equation $2 x^{2}+5 x-6=0$.

The question was attempted by 129 (30.3\%) candidates, of which, 74 ( $57.4 \%$ ) scored from 6 to 15 marks. Therefore, the general performance of candidates in this question was average. Figure 13 displays candidates' performance in this question.


Figure 13: The candidates' performance on question 13

The analysis of data shows that 297 ( $69.7 \%$ ) candidates skipped this question. About 55 ( $42.6 \%$ ) candidates scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, 42 $(32.6 \%)$ scored from 6 to 10 marks while $32(24.8 \%)$ candidates scored from 10.5 to 15 marks.

The candidates who scored 10.5 marks and above were able to use correctly the sigma notation as well as standard result for summation of series of natural numbers.
These candidates recognised that the series is defined for all natural numbers greater than or equal to 2 . Therefore, in order to get the sum of
first 50 terms they substituted $n=51$ into
$S_{n}=\frac{n}{6}(n+1)(2 n+1)-\frac{n}{2}(n+1)$ or its simplified form $S_{n}=\frac{n}{2}(n+1)(n-1)$ and computed to get the correct answer $S_{50}=41,650$.

In part (b), they used properly the rules of sum and product of roots in quadratic equation to assign the values and substitute correctly. They realized that if $\alpha$ is one root of $a x^{2}+b x+c=0$ the other root could be $2 \alpha$. Using the knowledge of sum and product of roots of quadratic equation, they identified that; $3 \alpha=\frac{-b}{a}$ and $2 \alpha^{2}=\frac{c}{a}$. Then, they worked out to eliminate $\alpha$ by reducing the two equations into one equation containing $a, b$ and $c$ and arranged it to obtain $2 b^{2}=9 a c$.

In part (c), the candidates were aware that the intended equation could be $x^{2}-\left(\alpha^{3}+\beta^{3}\right) x+(\alpha \beta)^{3}=0$. Therefore, they computed correctly the numerical value of $\alpha^{3}+\beta^{3}$ and $(\alpha \beta)^{3}$ from $\alpha+\beta$ and $\alpha \beta$ then substituted into the general form of the equation to get $8 x^{2}+305 x-216=0$. Extract 13.1 shows an example of a correct response of a candidate.
13. (b) Given that

$$
a x^{2}+b x+c=0
$$

Reclined to prove that $2 b^{2}=9 a c$ when one root is twice the other
solution.

$$
a x^{2}+b x+c=0
$$

dingle by $a$ both sites.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2}+b / a x+c / a=0 \\
& x^{2}-(\text { sum of roots }) x+\text { product of root }=0 \\
& x^{2}-(\beta+\alpha) x+(\beta \text { and } \alpha \text { be the root }
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing the two equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B+\alpha=-b / a \quad \text { (iii) } \\
& B \alpha=c / a \cdot \quad \text { (iv) }
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\beta=2 \alpha$.
平- From equation (iii) substitute $\beta=2 \alpha$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \alpha+\alpha)=-b / a \\
& 3 \alpha=-b / a \\
& \alpha=-b / a=\frac{-b}{3 a} \\
& \beta=2(-b / 3 a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ into equation ivs



Extract 13.1: A sample of a correct response to question 13.
The 42.6 per cent of the candidates who attempted this question scored low marks because they were unable to use properly the rules of sum and product of roots in quadratic equation. Some candidates substituted 50 into the term $n^{2}-n$ to get $50 \times 50-50=2,450$ in part (a). In part (b), some candidates derived the part of equation $a x^{2}+b x+c=0$ to the equation $2 b^{2}=9 a c$ after writing it as $2 b^{2}-9 a c=0$ that is; $2 b ^ { 2 } + 9 a c \longdiv { a x ^ { 2 } + b x + c }$.

In part (c), most of the candidates interpreted wrongly the word cube. They dealt with sums and product of cubic equation instead of quadratic one. There were candidates who solved the equation $2 x^{2}+5 x-6=0$ to get the roots. Some candidates applied the inappropriate formula of summation in Arithmetic Progression instead of the standard formula for summing natural numbers as shown in extract 13.2.


Extract 13.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 13.

### 2.3 Section C: Essay Questions on Pedagogy

### 2.3.1 Question 14: Planning and Preparation for Teaching Mathematics

This question examined candidates' competence to plan and prepare to teach the lesson. It required the candidates to explain the following components of a lesson plan as used in the teaching and learning of Mathematics:
(a) Preliminary information
(b) Objectives
(c) Lesson development
(d) Students' and teachers' evaluation.

The question was attempted by 402 ( $94.4 \%$ ) candidates and among them, 395 ( $98.3 \%$ ) candidates scored from 6 to 15 marks. Hence, the general performance of candidates in this question was good. Figure 14 illustrates performance of the candidates.


Figure 14: The performance of candidates on question 14
The analysis of data shows that $7(1.7 \%)$ candidates scored from 0 to 5.5 mark, 207 (51.5\%) scored from 6 to 10 marks and 188 ( $46.8 \%$ ) scored from 10.5 to 15 marks.

Most of the candidates answered this question correctly because they were familiar with planning and preparation for teaching in their day to day activities. So, they were able to explain each component in detail because they practice them in their daily life. Extract 14.1 reveals this situation.



Extract 14.1: A sample of a correct response to question 14.
On the other hand, there were $7(1.7 \%)$ candidates who got low marks. This is due to lack of knowledge about planning and preparation to teach Mathematics. Some of them were mentioning the components of a lesson plan instead of explaining the given components as shown in extract 14.2.

Extract 14.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 14.

### 2.3.2 Question 15: Foundations of Mathematics

This question assessed candidates' ability to apply Maslow hierarchy of needs. Candidates were required to explain how the understanding and application of Maslow's hierarch of needs can promote better learning of Mathematics in schools.

The question was attempted by $76(17.8 \%)$ out of 426 candidates, 68 ( $89.5 \%$ ) candidates scored from 6 to 15 marks. This indicates that the performance of candidates in this question was generally good. Figure 15 shows percentage of candidates who got low, average and high marks.


Figure 15: The performance of candidates on question 15

The analysis of data shows that $8(10.5 \%)$ of the candidates who attempted it scored from 0 to 5.5 marks, 35 ( $46.1 \%$ ) scored from 6 to 10 marks and 33 (43.4\%) scored from 10.5 to 15 marks

The candidates who provided satisfactory explanation in this question had adequate knowledge about the physiological needs, safety belonging, esteem need and self-actualization. Extract 15.1 is a sample of the response of one of the candidates.



Extract 15.1: A sample of a correct response to question 15.
On the other hand, 8 (10.5\%) candidates scored low marks ranging from 0 to 5.5. Some of them defined different terms like motivation, cooperation, security and love. This indicates that they failed to know the requirement of the question as shown in Extract 15.2.



Extract 15.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 15.

### 2.3.3 Question 16: Planning and Preparation for Teaching Mathematics

The question examined the ability of candidates to remember and demonstrate their expected daily role as teachers. They were required to describe five methods of teaching Mathematics.

The question was attempted by 371 ( $87.1 \%$ ) candidates, out these, 368 ( $99.0 \%$ ) candidates passed by scoring from 6 to 15 marks. Therefore, the general performance of candidates in this question was good. Figure 16 indicates the performance of the candidates in this question.


Figure 16: The performance of candidates on question 16

The analysis of data shows that $3(0.9 \%)$ of the candidates who attempted it scored from 0 to 5.5 mark, 54 ( $14.5 \%$ ) scored from 6 to 10 marks while 314 ( $84.5 \%$ ) scored from 10.5 to 15 marks.

The analysis of data shows that almost all candidates (99.0\%) passed this question by describing correctly the methods of teaching Mathematics. This is because they always apply different methods while learning and teaching the subject during teaching practice. Extract 16.1 shows the response of a candidate who answered this question correctly.



Extract 16.1: A sample of a correct response to question 16.

But, there were 3 ( $0.9 \%$ ) candidates who scored from 2 to 5 marks due to lack of knowledge about the concept of methods of teaching mathematics. Some of them were explained bout the learning environments, procedures for teaching mathematics and techniques of teaching mathematics instead of describing about teaching methods as shown in Extract 16.2.

16 Teaching Maltematics is the process, wharve, by teaher fautilate during learning process so as for the barnes to understand well tore larson The following are the reltiod of torching meithematics, are as follows Teaching from simple to complex; This's is the one meltiod where by help the learners to capture materials easily and make them to love the subject

Another method is activity based, thur is the one awoltrer Retrod where by after tealning to leaver must find aitinitié to do because prouti le Make perfect.

Reflective assessment, this is when by dunning the lesson the taller must make evaluation wheatherthelesson is understood the the learnen or not and if, not must find another way of helping the Learners

Balance of conceptual and prodigal knowledge, this's method it help the law hers to be creativity for them self going some where either looking for books and otter resources for shidylug, condurive environment, this is ven s important because if the place ane nit good toe, effective objective it must face difficulties, Itrenefore is very importa int in teaching maltermatice

Generally the explcyngd above ave the Melturds of teaching patton atics so my advice to the teacher is to follow that way so as to make, sine that the learning are taking
place.


Extract 16.2: A sample of an incorrect response to question 16.

### 3.0 THE ANALYSIS OF CANDIDATES' PERFORMANCE PER TOPIC

The analysis done on candidates' performance per topic showed that six topics out of 11 topics that were examined had good performance. These topic are; Analysis of Mathematics Curriculum Materials (98.1\%), Planning and Preparations for Teaching Mathematics (91.9\%), Foundations of Mathematics (89.5\%), Linear Programming (83.2\%), Differentiation (81.3\%) and Similarity and Congruence ( $81.3 \%$ ).

However, three topics had an average performance, namely; Algebra ( $53.5 \%$ ), Integration ( $52.4 \%$ ) and Coordinate Geometry II (49.2\%). Also, the data show that the candidate had week performance in two topics which are Vectors ( $1.6 \%$ ) and Probability ( $30.8 \%$ ). This weak performance was due to candidates' lack of skills and knowledge about the formula and technics required for calculating the given questions from these two topics.

Further analysis shows that the performance in two (2) topics which are; Analysis of Mathematics Curriculum Materials and Planning and Preparations for Teaching Mathematics has been good for three consecutive years. The questions which had good performance were Questions; 16 ( $99.0 \%$ ), 14 ( $98.3 \%$ ), 9 ( $98.1 \%$ ), 15 ( $89.5 \%$ ), 12 ( $83.2 \%$ ), 1 ( $81.3 \%$ ), 5 ( $81.3 \%$ ), and question $6(78.3 \%)$. Questions which had average performance were $2(61.1 \%), 13(57.4 \%), 7(52.4 \%)$ and $11(49.6 \%)$. On the other hand, the questions with weak performance were 8 ( $37.3 \%$ ), $10(1.6 \%), 4(1.1 \%)$. The candidates scored low marks because they failed to interpret the questions' requirement and lacked sufficient knowledge and skills about the mathematical concepts which were examined; others made errors while performing mathematical operations.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The general performance for 740-Mathematics subject in 2021 examination has dropped by $3 \%$ compared to that of 2020 with an overall average of $64.8 \%$ while that of 2020 had an overall average score of $67.8 \%$. The performance on Probability topic has been poor for three consecutive years from 2019 to 2021. In 2019, the performance was 31.9 per cent; in 2020, it was 32.8 per cent while in 2021 the average performance was 30.8 per cent. This problem could be attributed to the candidates' failure to interpret the questions and inadequate competence in applying the relevant formula in probability topic.

### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to improve the performance of prospective candidates, it is recommended that:
(a) Tutors are advised to teach the students various techniques on how to answer different questions and guide them on how to identify the requirements of the questions.
(b) Students should be encouraged to read various recommended readings including text books and reference books in order to acquire more knowledge and skills in Mathematics.
(c) The students should be provided with project on designing in and out of class activities that can motivate them to learn.
(d) Tutors should make a regular change of teaching and learning strategies in various topics, for example, guide group discussion and presentation, internet search, library search, pair reflection and others.
(e) Tutors should pay more attention on teaching probability with different techniques in order to raise it performance.

## APPENDIX

## SUMMARY OF THE CANDIDATES' PERFORMANCE IN MATHEMATICS SUBJECT

| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\omega}{2}$ | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Analysis of <br> Mathematics <br> Curriculum <br> Materials <br> Planning and <br> Preparation for <br> Teaching <br> Mathematics | 4 | 85.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16 | 82.9 | 84.4 | Good | 9 | 98.1 | 98.1 | Good |
| 2 |  | 15 | 98.7 | 98.7 | Good | 6 | 78.3 | 91.9 | Good |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 98.3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 99.0 |  |  |
| 3 | Foundations of Mathematics | 1 | 95.6 | 95.6 | Good | 15 | 89.5 | 89.5 | Good |
| 4 | Linear <br> Programming | 10 | 70.7 | 75.2 | Good | 12 | 83.2 | 83.2 | Good |
|  |  | 11 | 79.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Differentiation | 5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | Weak | 1 | 81.3 | 81.3 | Good |
| 6 | Similarity and Congruence | 6 | 62.3 | 62.3 | Average | 5 | 81.3 | 81.3 | Good |
| 7 | Algebra | 9 | 67.0 | 63.4 | Average | 11 | 49.6 | 53.5 | Average |
|  |  | 13 | 59.8 |  |  | 13 | 57.4 |  |  |


| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{Z}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Integration | - | - | - | - | 7 | 52.4 | 52.4 | Average |
| 9 | Coordinate Geometry II | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 61.1 \\ \hline 37.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 49.2 | Average |
| 10 | Probability | 7 | 52.8 | 52.8 | Average | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60.5 \\ & \hline 1.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 30.8 | Weak |
| 11 | Vector | 3 | 70.1 | 70.1 | Good | 10 | 1.6 | 1.6 | Weak |
| 12 | Hyperbolic <br> Functions | 12 | 87.6 | 87.6 | Good | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Logic | 2 | 85.5 | 85.5 | Good | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Assessment in Mathematics | 14 | 83.6 | 83.6 | Good | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | Teaching the Selected Topics | 8 | 14.2 | 14.2 | Weak | - | - | - | - |

