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## FOREWORD

The National Examinations Council of Tanzania is pleased to issue this report on Candidates' Item Response Analysis (CIRA) on the Diploma in Secondary Education Examination (DSEE) for the Curriculum and Teaching subject. This report has been prepared to provide a feedback to student teachers, tutors, parents, guardians, policy makers and the public in general on the candidates' performance and the challenges that they encountered in attempting examination questions.

The DSEE was a summative evaluation that measures the effectiveness of teaching and learning process at the end of the course. The candidates' response to the examination question is an indicator of what the student teachers' were able to achieve in their learning process for the two years. The content covered in this examination was developed from the Curriculum and Teaching syllabus for Diploma in Secondary Education.

This report highlights possible reasons behind the candidates' responses in Curriculum and Teaching examination. It points out the factors that made the candidates to score high and low marks to some of the questions. The analysis showed that candidates with higher scores were able to understand the demands of the questions, had sufficient knowledge of the subject matter and possessed proficiency in the English Language. Besides, the factors which made the candidate score low marks include; failure to understand the demand of the question, lack of knowledge of the concepts related to the subject, and inability to follow examination regulations.

The feedback provided in this report will enable educational administrators, college principals, tutors, student-teachers and other stakeholders to devise proper measures with a view to improving the candidates' performance in future examinations administered by the Council.

Lastly, the Council would like to appreciate the contribution made by the examination officers, examiners and printers for preparing this report.


Dr. Charles E. Msonde
EXECUTIVE SECTRETARY

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Diploma in Secondary Education Examination in the Curriculum and Teaching subject assesses the candidates' competences in how to apply curriculum theories to classroom situation. It also assesses competences in solving educational problems, organizing and managing classrooms for effective teaching, creating and innovating skills in the teaching and learning process, as well as evaluating curriculum materials.

The report presents candidates' responses in the Curriculum and Teaching Examination that was conducted in May 2021. It presents statistics and descriptions about the performance of the candidates per question and by topics. A total of 2,097 sat for the examination. The overall performance of the candidates in 2021 has dropped by 0.01 per cent when compared to that 2020 . The general performance of the candidates has been summarized in the following Table.

Candidates' performance per grade for 2020 and 2021 in Curriculum and Teaching Examination

| Year | Candidates |  |  | Grades |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of Candidates |
|  | Sat | Passed |  | A | B | C | D | F |
| 2020 | 2,793 | 2,792 |  | 99.96 | 0.14 | 24.4 | 69.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 |
| 2021 | 2,097 | 2,072 | 99.95 | 3.9 | 49.6 | 43.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

Despite the minimal drop of performance in 2021, the data in the Table depicts that, there is an increase in number of candidates who passed with grades A and B in 2021 compared to 2020.

The Curriculum and Teaching paper consisted of two sections A and B. Section A consisted of 10 short answer questions which were compulsory. Each question weighed 4 marks, making a total of 40 marks in this section. Section B had 6 questions and the candidates were required to answer four questions. Each question weighed 15 marks, making a total of 60 marks in this section.

Throughout this report, the candidates' performance was categorised as good, average and weak. The performance in each question in Section A is considered to be "good" if the candidates' scored from 3 to 4 marks, "average"
if the candidates scored from 2 to 2.5 marks and "weak" if the candidates scored from 0 to 1.5 marks. In Section B, good performance ranges from 10.5 to 15 marks, average from 6 to 10 marks while weak performance range from 0 to 5.5 marks. The performance in the entire paper was based on the following percentage ranges: $70-100$ Good, $40-69$ Average and $0-39$ Fail. Furthermore, the colours have been used to represent performance in figures whereby green, yellow and red represents good, average and weak respectively.

### 2.0 ANALYSIS OF CANDIDATES PERFORMANCE IN EACH QUESTION

### 2.1 SECTION A: SHORT ANSWER QUESTIONS

This section had ten (10) objective questions which were compulsory. The candidates were required to answer all questions. Each question carries four (4) marks, giving a total of forty (40) marks.

### 2.1.1 Question 1: Teaching and Learning

The question required the candidates to explain four ways of creating conducive classroom climate. This question was attempted by 2,097 ( $100 \%$ ) candidates. The performance of candidates in this question was good since most of candidates ( $97.1 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1: The candidates' performance on question 1

The performance in Figure 1 indicates that, the candidates ( $93.5 \%$ ) scored from 3 to 4 marks which was good performance. These candidates had adequate knowledge of the subject matter and understood the demands of the question hence provided relevant answers. They were able to explain four ways of creating a conducive classroom environment which are: being well organized and enthusiastic, being welcoming and respectful to students, maintain dignity and act as good example of what he/she likes students to admire. Extract 1.1 is a sample of response from one of the candidates' in this category.

| 1. | Ways of creatiog a condusive clacroon dinite |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (i) Proper arrangement of learner: in order to creat a condy |
|  | sive classmom diniate a teacher hould make sure there |
|  | are good arrangenent of learner with their table and chasi |
|  | iii) To ensurce cleaness of the clacc; Afro a teader should |
|  | ensure classroom clean everyday so as to remove dithy |
|  | and dust. |
|  | (iii) To enacre there are enough ventillation: For condus ruei |
|  | classmom cisiate they should ensure enough ventillation |
|  | and life so as to be condusive to the learner. |
|  | ai) Envirinmental padur: The site of clase there should not |
|  | have biasness prexample noisi which allound shool |
|  | compound |

Extract 1.1: A sample of a correct response to question 1
Similarly, $3.6 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks showed inadequate knowledge of the subject matter as some provided both correct and incorrect points. The variation of the candidates' marks depended on the degree of relevancy and clarity of their points. For example, one candidate wrote: proper classroom arrangement, punishment and practice, positive relationship with the students in the class where two points out of the three given were correct. The correct points were: proper classroom arrangement and positive relationship with the students in the class. Another candidate wrote: provide raw materials, incentives to the learners, respect learners and well organized where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points were: respect learners and well organized.

Further analysis showed that, the few candidates ( $2.9 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks failed to understand the requirements of the question while others showed poor English language proficiency hence giving incorrect responses. For example, one candidate gave responses like: the place where there is noise, it should the health services, the place where there is availability of getting the teacher where out of the four given, there were no correct points. Another candidate wrote: the place where there is the availability of getting the basic needs, giving rewards, respecting others and no punishment there were no correct point. The candidates were supposed to provide correct responses which were: being well organized and enthusiastic, being welcoming and respectful to students maintain dignity and act as good example. Extract 1. 2 illustrates a sample of one of the candidates' response in this category.


Extract 1.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 1
In Extract 1.2, the candidate provided ways to consider when selecting teaching methods for proper teaching and learning instead of explaining ways of creating a conducive classroom climate as per question requirements.

### 2.1.2 Question 2: Curriculum Development

The question required the candidates to outline four causes of curriculum change. This question was attempted by 2,096 ( $99.9 \%$ ) candidates. The performance of candidates in this question was good since many of them ( $98.3 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2: The candidates' performance on question 2
The data in Figure 2 indicates that, the candidates (93.1\%) had good performance as they are scores range from 3 to 4 marks. These candidates were able to outline four causes of curriculum change. They had sufficient knowledge of the subject matter, good writing skills and proficiency in the English Language. Furthermore, they understood the demands of the question hence provided correct responses, which were; change in science and technology, change in philosophical and ideological of the country, influence of the pressure groups and failure of the existing one. Extract 2.1 is a sample of responses from one of the candidates' in this category.


## Extract 2.1: A sample of a correct response to question 2

It was also observed that $5.2 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks demonstrated moderate knowledge since their responses were slightly correct. Such responses reflected partial knowledge of the candidates on the subject matter as well as poor English Language proficiency. For example,
one candidate wrote: the needs of the society change itself, political affiliation, and change of the phenomena where two points out of five given, were correct. The correct points were: the needs of the society and political affiliation. Another candidate wrote: change in demand, society needs, science and technology and students enquiry where two points out of four given, were correct. The correct points were: society needs and science and technology.

Further analysis showed that, the few candidates ( $1.6 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks most of them failed to understand the requirements of the question hence provided irrelevant responses. For example, one candidate wrote: new curriculum designs, new curriculum content, where out of the given, there were no correct point. Another candidate wrote: curriculum development, it leads changes in curriculum system and it lead curriculum to be implementation or innovation which were not correct points. The correct responses for this question were: change in science and technology, change in philosophical and ideological of the country, influence of the pressure groups and failure of the existing one. Extract 2. 2 illustrates irrelevant responses from one of the candidates.


Extract 2.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 2
In Extract 2.2, the candidate did not understand the requirements of the question and had insufficient knowledge of the subject matter hence they provided incorrect responses.

### 2.1.3 Question 3: Planning for Teaching

The question required the candidates to give four functions of a lesson plan. This question was attempted by 2,097 ( $100 \%$ ) candidates. The performance in this question was good as most of candidates ( $99.5 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 3.


## Scores

Figure 3: The candidates' performance on question 3
The analysis of data from Figure 3 shows that, most of candidates (99.2\%) scored from 3 to 4 which was good performance. This question had the highest good performance compared to other questions. The candidates showed adequate knowledge of the subject matter as they provided relevant functions of a lesson plan; which were: to enable the teacher to teach in logical and proper sequence, to enable the teacher to regulate teaching speed, to enable the teacher to prepare appropriate teaching materials and it is used as important record for administration reference. Extract 3.1 is a response from one of the candidates who managed to answer this question correctly.


Extract 3.1: A sample of a correct response to question 3
Conversely, $0.3 \%$ of those who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks demonstrated moderate knowledge of the function of a lesson plan. For example, one candidate wrote: used to promote performance of students, help teacher teach
systematically, to cover the syllabus on time and used to reduce speed where two points were correct out of the four given. The correct points were: help teacher teach systematically and used to reduce speed. Another candidate wrote: help to teach in order, help to confidence, help to know students and help to practice teaching where one point out of four given was correct. The correct point was help to teach in order.

Further analysis showed that most of the $0.5 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks, lacked the knowledge of the subject matter and failed to understand the demands of the question hence provided irrelevant responses. For example, one candidate wrote: to understand learners, to cope with them, to increase budget and to run series where there was no correct point out of the four given. Another candidate wrote: help to prepare teaching aid, maintain time, teaching practice and help to monitor dodgers' where one point out of the four given was correct. The correct point was maintain time. The candidates were supposed to give correct responses such as: enables the teacher to teach in logical and proper sequence, enables the teacher to regulate teaching speed, enables a teacher to prepare appropriate teaching materials and it is used as important record for administration reference. Extract 3.2 is a sample of responses from one of the candidates' in this category.
Br 11) To develop a lesson,
11) To mate teachers evaluation.
(11).) To male students evaluation,
(11) To gur pemarte outre tough lesson.

Extract 3.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 3

In extract 3.2 the candidate wrote responses related to the evaluation process instead of writing functions of a lesson plan.

### 2.1.4 Question 4: Teaching and Learning

The question required the candidates to specify the advantages of Block Teaching Practice (BTP). This question was attempted by 2,097 (100\%) candidates. The general performance of the candidates in this question was good since many candidates ( $99.4 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4: The candidates' performance on question 4
Figure 4 indicates that, the candidates ( $96.0 \%$ ) had good performance as they are scores range from 3 to 4 marks. These candidates understood the question and provided correct responses. They were able to specify the advantages of Block Teaching Practice (BTP) such as: to help students teachers extend selfknowledge, to boost the morale and confidence of students' teachers, to encourage collaboration between students' teachers and the experienced teachers. Extract 4.1 represent a sample of a relevant response from one of the candidates.


Extract 4.1: A sample of a correct response to question 4
The analysis indicated that, the candidates ( $3.4 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks had partial knowledge of the subject matter as they wrote both relevant
and irrelevant responses hence failed to score full marks. For example, one candidate wrote: it exposes students' teachers to the society, it allows students to avoid punishment from tutors, it builds conflicts to student teachers, it help them how to plan for day to day budgetary where two points out of four given, were correct. The correct points were: it exposes students' teachers to the society and it help them how to plan for day to day budgetary. Another candidate wrote: to meet with experienced teachers, to get money, to know new environment and to practice teaching where two points out four given, were correct. The correct points were; to practice teaching and to meet with experienced teachers.

Further analysis showed that, the candidates ( $0.6 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks had little knowledge of some points but lacked clear knowledge of Block Teaching Practice (BTP). Consequently, some candidates provided irrelevant responses. For example, one candidate wrote: escaping from college regulations and getting money where there was no correct point out of two given. Another candidate wrote: to get more money, to find new friends, to refresh mind and to be free from college activities where there was no correct point out of four given. The candidates were supposed to provide correct responses such as: to help students teachers extend self-knowledge, to boost the morale and confidence of students' teachers, to encourage collaboration between students' teachers and the experienced teachers. Extract 4.2 is a sample of the response from one of the candidates' in this category.


Extract 4.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 4

In Extract 4.2, the candidate specified the advantages of Block Teaching Practice but lacked clear knowledge of the question. Also they had poor organization skills of the work.

### 2.1.5 Question 5: Curriculum Materials

The question demanded the candidates to provide the importance of subject textbook in four points. This question was attempted by 2,097 (100\%) candidates. In general, the performance of the candidates in this question was good since many candidates ( $98.6 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 5.


## Scores

■0.0-1.5
ㅁ.0-2.5
■3.0-4.0

Figure 5: The candidates' performance on question 5

The analysis shows that, the candidates ( $95.9 \%$ ) scored from 3 to 4 marks which was good performance. These candidates adhered to the demands of the question and demonstrated adequate knowledge of curriculum materials by providing correct responses. They were able to show the importance of subject textbook in four points which were: it contains the approved content by the respective authorities, provides some classroom and outdoor activities, it is used by students to solve the given activities by teachers. Extract 5.1 is a sample of response from one of the candidates' in this category.


Extract 5.1: A sample of correct responses to question 5

Besides, some of the $2.7 \%$ the candidates who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks had problem in writing skills, English Language proficiency and organization skills. The candidates' marks in this category differed due to variations in the correctness of their responses. For instance, one candidate wrote: it helps the learner to get the movie, it helps learners to get notes, it motivates the learners to store, and it helps the learners to get different learning activities where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points were: it helps learners to get notes and it helps the learners to get different learning activities. Another candidate wrote: it help students to study, it helps independence of learners, helps students in getting more knowledge where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points were: it help students to study and helps students in getting more knowledge.

Also, some of the $1.4 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks had little knowledge on the concept tested and others did not understand the demand of the question. For example, one candidate wrote: it suggests learning activities for farmers, it suggests teaching activities for learners, it enhances production and it promotes culture of the tribes where there was no correct point out of four given. Another candidate wrote: it provides learning activities, it gives confidence, it gives examples and it shows pictures and diagrams where there was no correct point out of four given. The candidates were supposed to write the correct responses such as: it contains the approved content by the respective authorities, provides some classroom and outdoor activities, it is used by students to solve the given activities by teachers. Extract 5.2 is sample of the response from one of the candidates'.


Extract 5.2: A sample of incorrect response to question 5

In Extract 5.2, the candidate wrote the importance of the scheme of work and lesson plan instead of giving importance of subject textbook.

### 2.1.6 Question 6: Curriculum Development

The question required the candidates to describe four steps of Tyler's Curriculum Development Model. Statistics shows that the question was attempted by $2,097(100 \%)$ candidates. Performance in this question was average since candidates ( $62.0 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 6.


Figure 6: The candidates' performance on question 6
Figure 6 shows that, the candidates ( $38.0 \%$ ) had poor performance as they are scores range from scored from 0 to 1.5 marks. These candidates lacked knowledge of the subject matter and failed to understand the needs of the
question hence provided the steps of Wheeler's curriculum Model instead of Tyler Curriculum Model. For examples, one candidate wrote: situational analysis/diagnosing of needs, formulation of ideas and selection of content and organization of content where there was no correct point out of three points given. Another candidate identified points like: discussion, explaining the methods of curriculum content, explaining the content to be employed in a particular model and organization and conclusion and there was no correct point out of the four points given. The candidates were supposed to give the correct responses such as: specific objectives, learning experiences, organization of learning experiences and evaluation of learning experiences. Extract 6.1 is a sample of response from one of the candidates in this category.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma$ | is content. |
|  | ii)specitic objectives. |
|  | ios cycho way |
|  |  |

Extract 6.1: A sample of incorrect responses to question 6
In Extract 6.1, the candidate provided steps of Wheeler's Curriculum Development Model instead of Tyler's Curriculum Development Model.

Likewise, the candidates ( $24.4 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks demonstrated moderate knowledge as their responses were partly correct. Such responses reflected partial knowledge of the candidates on the subject matter. For example, the candidates wrote: objectives/goals, learning indicators, teaching and learning materials and learning experiences where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points were; objectives/goals and learning experiences. Another candidate wrote: specific objectives, selection of the learning experiences, and organization of learning experiences and content where two points out of the four given were correct. The correct points were: selection of the learning experiences, organization of learning experiences.

Similarly, the candidates ( $37.6 \%$ ) who scored from 3 to 4 marks had sufficient knowledge of the subject matter. They were able to describe the four steps of Tyler's Curriculum Development Model which were; specific objectives, learning experiences, organization of learning experiences and evaluation of
learning experiences. Extract 6.2 is a sample of response from one of the candidates'.


Extract 6.2: A sample of correct responses to question 6

### 2.1.7 Question 7: Teaching and Learning

The question required the candidates to describe four characteristics of students with specific learning problems. This question was attempted by $2,097(100 \%)$ candidates. In general, the performance of the candidates in this question was average since the candidates ( $62.3 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7: The candidates' performance on question 7
Figure 7 shows, the candidates ( $37.7 \%$ ) scored from 0 to 1.5 marks which was poor performance. These candidates failed to meet the demands of the question due to insufficient knowledge of the subject matter. Some candidates mentioned the impacts of students with disabilities instead of describing characteristics of students with specific learning problems. For example, one candidate wrote: they fail in examinations, they can't organize discussion, they can't write properly and they can't state there were no correct points out of the four given. Another candidate wrote: they need assistance, they are always dirty, they are crowing, they feel shy there were no correct point out of four given. The candidates were supposed to provide correct responses which are: some students have difficulties in motor coordination activities, others have verbal expression problem, others have spelling problem and others lack social skills. Extract 7.1 is a sample of response from one of the candidates'.


Extract 7.1: A sample of incorrect responses to question 7

In Extract 7.1 the candidate identified the impacts of students with learning disabilities instead of describing characteristics of students with specific learning problems.

Furthermore, the candidates ( $30.7 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks had partial knowledge on the question requirements as they provided both relevant and irrelevant responses, insufficient descriptions and low English Language proficiency; as such they failed to score full marks. For example, one candidate wrote: they have got the low marks in the examination, they have language poor, they have writing poor and they have verbal expression problem, they have social skills, where two points out of five given were correct. The correct points were: they have verbal expression problem and they have social skills problem. Another candidate wrote: they experience with serious problems, they lack social interactions and they need special assistance where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points were: they lack social interactions and they need special assistance.

On the other hand, the candidates ( $31.6 \%$ ) who scored from 3 to 4 marks had sufficient knowledge of the subject matter and understood the demands of the question since they provided relevant answers. They were able to describe four characteristics of students with specific learning problems which are: some students have difficulties in motor coordination activities, others have verbal expression problem, others have spelling problem and others lack social skills. Extract 7.2 illustrates a sample of response from one of the candidates'.


Extract 7.2: A sample of correct responses to question 7

### 2.1.8 Question 8: Curriculum Development

The question required the candidates to describe four reasons for having well stated curriculum instructional objectives. This question was attempted by $2,097(100 \%)$ candidates. The performance of the candidates in this question
was good since many candidates (76.4\%) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 8.


Figure 8: The candidates' performance on question 8

Figure 8 shows that, the candidates ( $51.0 \%$ ) who scored from 3 to 4 marks had sufficient knowledge of the subject matter and showed a clear understanding of the question; hence provided correct responses. Also, they were able to describe reasons for having well stated curriculum instructional objectives which are: to help a teacher in selecting appropriate content, to help a teacher in selecting teaching methods, to help a teacher in attracting learners' attention and to regulate teachers' instructional behaviors. Extract 8.1 is a sample of the response from one of the candidates in this category.


Extract 8.1: A sample of correct response to question 8

Moreover, the candidates ( $25.4 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks provided partially correct responses. For example, one candidate wrote: it helps to move learners during studying, it helps the teacher to get materials, it helps to assist teacher during learning processes and it serve time when students observe an object where two points out of the four given were correct. The correct points were: it helps the teacher to get material and it helps to assist teacher during learning processes. Another candidate wrote: it helps when making evaluation, it helps a teacher to search for good method, it enable teacher to manage time and it enable teacher to achieve intended objectives where two points out of the four given were correct. The correct points given were: it enable teacher to achieve intended objectives and it helps a teacher to search for good method.

On other hand, the candidates ( $23.6 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks had little knowledge of the concept tested and others did not understand the requirements of the question. For example, one candidates wrote: used to measure the measurable terms, used to determine the time, used to attain each students and it is realistic there were no correct point out of four given. Another candidate wrote: help to determine clear student evaluation, it is specific means no misinterpretation during teaching, it is realistic means and it will enable a teacher to manage time there were no correct points out of the four given. The candidates were supposed to provided correct responses such as: they help teacher in selecting appropriate content, they help teacher in selecting teaching methods, they help a teacher to attract learners' attention and they regulate teachers' instructional behaviors. Extract 8.2 is an example of responses from one of the candidates'.


Extract 8.2: A sample of incorrect response to question 8
In Extract 8.2, the candidate wrote points related to the importance of Teachers' guide and Text books instead of describing the reasons for having well stated curriculum instructional objectives.

### 2.1.9 Question 9: Curriculum Development

The question required the candidates to identify four major criteria used by curriculum specialists in the selection of curriculum content. The question was attempted by $2,097(100 \%)$ candidates. The performance of the candidates in this question was good as most of candidates ( $74.4 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 9.


Figure 9: The candidates' performance on question 9
Figure 9 shows that, the candidates ( $58.7 \%$ ) who scored from 3 to 4 marks had adequate knowledge and understanding of the demands of the question hence they provided relevant answers which were: deciding whether the topic is relevant to the society, learnability, goal validity and emphasis on mechanism. Extract 9.1 is a sample of the response from one of the candidates in this category.


Extract 9.1: A sample of correct responses to question 9
Also the analysis showed that, the candidates ( $15.7 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks demonstrated unsatisfactory knowledge by providing relevant and irrelevant responses. For example, one candidate provided the response like: nature of the learners and their levels, learnability, nature of the subject matter and needs of the society where two points out of the four given were correct. The correct points given were: learnability, and needs of the society. Another candidate wrote: needs of the society, consider the level of learners, consider the objective and consider the time where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points given were: needs of the society and consider the objective.

Further analysis showed that, the candidates ( $25.6 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks some of them failed to understand the needs of the question, others
provided points related to the indicators of learning hence giving irrelevant responses. For instance, one candidate wrote: the method used the specific objectives, the material used, and the level of the learners there was no correct point out of three given. Another candidate wrote: the content should consider level of learners, the content should relate to the subject, the content should be relevant, and it must be clear stated there were no correct points out of four given. The candidates were supposed to provided correct responses such as: deciding whether the topic is relevant to the society, learnability, goal validity and emphasis on mechanism. Extract 9.2 is a sample of response from one of the candidates in this category.


Extract 9.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 9
In Extract 9.2, the candidate wrote points related to the indicators of learning instead of identifying the major criteria used by curriculum specialists in the selection of curriculum content.

### 2.1.10 Question 10: Curriculum Materials

The question required the candidates to state four uses of teachers' guide. The question was attempted by 2,096 ( $99.9 \%$ ) candidates. The performance of the candidates in this question was good since many candidates ( $94.2 \%$ ) scored from 2 to 4 marks as shown in Figure 10.


Scores
$\square 0.0-1.5$
$\square 2.0-2.5$
$\square 3.0-4.0$

Figure 10: The candidates' performance on question 10
Figure 10 shows that, the candidates ( $85.2 \%$ ) who scored from 3 to 4 marks had sufficient knowledge of the subject matter and clear understanding of the of Teachers' guide. The candidates were able to give correct responses which were: to suggest teaching and learning materials, to suggest teaching and learning aids, to suggest teaching methodology and to enable the teacher to follow the sequence of content with students' book and to provide procedures for assessing students. Extract 10.1 is a sample of the response from one of the candidates in this category.

| 10 | i) If helpteacher to select the Method of leacho |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | ing. |
|  | "ii) It provide number of acturitiy to be done by |
|  | the leamer. |
|  | ii) It guide teachers compitence to be lued in |
|  | teaching process |
|  | w) It guide and direct teacher Materials to be |
|  | Used in the -teaching process accordina to |
|  | the level of the Leamers. |

Extract 10.1: A sample of correct responses to question 10
Similarly, the candidates ( $9.0 \%$ ) who scored from 2 to 2.5 marks showed little knowledge of the topic of Curriculum Materials and a clear understanding of
the uses of teachers' guide. The candidates provided one to two correct points out of four, others provided unclear responses. For example, one candidate wrote: it helps a teacher to select appropriate teaching technique, to relating topics of one subject to another subject, it helps a teacher in selecting activities to give the learners and it helps a teacher in making scheme of work where two points out of the four given were correct. The correct points were: it helps a teacher to select appropriate teaching technique and it helps a teacher in selecting activities to give the learners. Another candidate wrote: it help teachers to make evaluation, to provide learning, to provide answers and to give teaching methods where two correct points out of four given were correct. The correct points were: to provide answers and to give teaching methods.

Further analysis indicated that, the candidates (5.8\%) who scored from 0 to 1.5 marks lacked knowledge about the uses of teachers' guide. Others failed to understand the requirements of the question by providing the uses of text book instead of teachers' guide. For example, one candidate wrote: it contains the approved content, provide outdoor activities and it helps to prepare respective subject where one point out of three given was correct. The correct point was: it helps to prepare respective the subject. Another candidate wrote: used as reference, used as teaching material, and used for evaluation where one point out of three given were correct. The correct point was: used as reference. The candidates were supposed to give correct responses such as: to suggest teaching and learning materials, to suggest teaching and learning aids, to suggest teaching methodology and to enable the teacher to follow the sequence of content with students' book and to provide procedures for assessing students. Extract 10.2 is a sample of irrelevant responses from one of the candidates.


## Extract 10.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 10

In Extract10.2, the candidate wrote points relating to the uses of text book instead of the uses of teachers' guide.

### 2.2 SECTION B: ESSAY QUESTIONS

This section had six (6) questions. The candidates were required to answer four (4) questions. Each question weighed fifteen (15) marks, making a total of sixty (60) marks in this section.

### 2.2.1 Question 11: Curriculum Materials

The question required the candidates to evaluate the importance of supplementary curriculum materials in five points. This question was attempted by 1,949 ( $92.9 \%$ ) candidates. According to analysis the performance of was good since as many as $96.7 \%$ of the candidates scored from 6 to 14.5 marks as shown in Figure 11.


## Scores

0.0-5.5
$\square 6.0-10$
$\square 10.5-15.0$

Figure 11: The candidates' performance on question 11
Figure 11 shows that, the candidates ( $54.9 \%$ ) who scored from 10.5 to 14.5 marks exhausted the points by providing a good introduction correctly, evaluated the importance of supplementary curriculum materials and provided a relevant conclusion. The correct responses were: to promote self-directed learning, to improve learning behaviors, to encourage learners to learn and to improve how to search relevant materials. Extract 11.1 is a sample of response from one of the candidates' in this category.
11. Supplementary curriculum material Ls: Are the list of written materials which are designed te support the teacher in teachang and learning processes as they adds the required knowledge and information relevant te teaching, and learning contexts. They includes various written materials such as books, magazines and journals as well as pamphlets where the tache is and students search for materialsrela ted te teaching-learning practices. There are various importance of supplementary Gurriudum materials as shown below:-

They helps widen the knowledere of the teachers and sudetentsi Supp By the aid of supplemeifary materials teachers and students can read so as to expand their knowledge and understanding about a Contain Concepts.

They helps "teacher in pt prepari' ing lesson notices: "Also the supplementary curriculum materials can be used by the teacher te prepare the lesson notice on the desired lesson or topic which is t be tought in classroom.

They to provide the clarrifieations of difficult concepts: Also by the aid of supplementary curriculum materials the darrification of difficult concepts can be simplified; Thus they provide further exp lanations on the concepts which maybe on the textbooks and other related rile
11. ne materials

They helps to develops reading skills for the individual students: Also the supplementary materials helps students te be exposed with variety sources of readis nags at the expense of relying on their textbooks only; in so doing they are likely $t$ develops reading Rabbits which intern may helps to develop reading skits.

They promotes independent e and seff-dearning te students. Also suppremenary curniulum materials helps the teacher to reduce his /her loads as it is replaced by directing students li reade or study from the given supprementary materials to understand the designed concepts.

Therefore for effective teaching and learning th be appropriately achieved the processes of teaching and learning shoo uld not rely only on the textbooks, in steady is should involve the combiniation of textbooks and supplementary materials relevant to teaching and le arming.

Extract 11.1: A sample of correct responses to question 11
Moreover, some of the $41.8 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 6 to 10 marks provided few correct responses while others gave incorrect explanation. Others did not adhere to essay writing rules as they mentioned points without an introduction or conclusion. For instance, one candidate wrote: used to increase knowledge, to create employment opportunity, to promote self-
directed learning, to explore materials, used to solve different difficulties where three points out of five were correct. The correct points are: used to increase knowledge, used to solve different difficulties and promote selfdirected learning. Another candidate wrote: improve process of learning, help student to make evaluate, help leaners to get more information, help teachers to provide feedback to the learners and help teacher to know teaching methodology where two points out of the five were correct. The correct points given were: help leaners to get more information, improve process of learning.

Similarly, some of the $3.3 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 0 to 5.5 marks provided irrelevant responses due to insufficient knowledge of the subject matter while others misconceived the requirements of the question by listing the importance of the lesson plan instead of evaluating the importance of supplementary curriculum materials. For example, one candidate wrote: they help to save time of teaching, they help to attain instructional objectives, they create confidence, they lead teaching and learning to be systematically and make teacher more competent where two points out of the five given were correct. The correct points were: make teacher more competent and they create confidence another candidate wrote: help to make evaluation, to improve discussion, to encourage guests and to improve library there were no correct point out of four given. The candidates were supposed to give the correct responses which are: to promote self-directed learning, to arouse and improve learning behaviors, to consolidate students what they have learned, to encourage learners to learn and work together and to improve how to search relevant materials. Extract 11.2 illustrates a sample of one of the candidates' response in this category.



Extract 11.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 11
In Extract 11.2, the candidate failed to understand the demand of the question hence wrote points related to the importance of the lesson plan instead of writing the importance of supplementary curriculum materials.
2.2.2 Question 12: Planning for Teaching

The question required the candidates to explain six possible consequences of teaching without a lesson plan. This question was attempted by 2,018 ( $96.2 \%$ ) candidates. In general, the performance of the candidates in this question was
good since as many as $99.9 \%$ of the candidates scored from 6 to 14 marks as shown in Figure 12.


Figure 12: The candidates' performance on question 12
Figure 12 shows that, the candidates (67.5\%) who scored from 10.5 to 14 marks were able to explain six possible consequences of teaching without a lesson plan which are: it may lead to failure in explaining the important concepts, it may results in teaching irrelevant materials hence boring, it may lead to disorder ideas hence causing misunderstanding, it may lead to low confidence of the teacher in the lesson and it may lead to the use of inappropriate pace and transitions of a lesson activities. Extract 12.1 illustrates a sample of one of the candidates' response in this category.
12. Lesson plan is the short plan of action prepared by a teacher which show all stages to be followed while teaching. Lesson plan is prepared when a teacher want to teacher. You can prepare lesson plan fourty miginutes ( 40 min ) if your period is single and eighty minjetes ( 80 mm ) for doable period. The following are the explanation of consequences of ttacherg without a lesson plan as follows:-

Failure to achieve intended goal, when a teacher teach without lesson plan it will be difficult to achieve the intended goal of his or her teaching. This is due to that lessen plan has dear spelled out goal that a teacher want a their students to achieve.

Failure to manage time, Lesson plan is four forty minutes a eighty minutes so when a teacher go to teach without lean plan fail to manage the time because may be have large content ar small content where by time end before reaching the goal. Lose confident, as we know that teacher should have a confident so as to tach well so when helsher, entering the class without a lassen plan sometimes it can loose conficlence because helshe can either forget What want to teachers so if parget he 1 she must lose a for confidence.

Fail to teach systematically, when a teacher tach without a lessen plan can Fail to teach systematically because he she Lack plan that direct or guide him/her while

12 teaching. Sometimes may finterchangly the stage of lessen development instacady of starting with introduction a teacher can start with now knowledge because have ode at loose confideme Fail to evaluate his cher teaching this is because Lessen plan lave a part known as assessment here a teacher should indicate how can evaluate his/her Lesson so when he lshe teach withoret lessen plan cen fail to evaluate both his mark and that of student.

Fail to manage and organize the class. also and this is possie consequences of teaching without lesson plan here the teacher Fair to manage and agonize the class because tearer are confused itself. And also fail to arouses interest and motivation of to le earner.

Generally: I can say that teachers are not allouled to teach without plan because lessen plan act as a framewatz of the whole process of implementing instruction in the class so a teacher need to prepare lesson plan before going in the class.

Extract 12.1: A sample of correct response to question 12
Further analysis showed that, some of the $32.4 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 6 to 10 marks provided partial elaboration of the points while others had no introduction and relevant examples hence failed to score full marks. For example, one candidate wrote responses such as: poor systematic arrangement, it is difficult to determine the number of students, lack of confidence, failure to meet instructional objectives and lead to low confidence where three points out of the five given were correct. The correct points were: lack of confidence, it is difficult to determine the number of students and lead to low confidence. Another candidate wrote: difficult to mistakes, encourage
repetition, encourage use of irrelevant materials, difficult in conclusion and difficult to teach systematically where three points out of five given were correct. The correct points were: encourage repetition, encourage use of irrelevant materials and difficult to teach systematically.

Additionally, the candidates ( $0.1 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 5.5 marks lacked proper knowledge of the consequences of teaching without a lesson plan. Some candidates provided unclear elaboration of the points and others had low English Language proficiency. Others provided points related to types of teaching techniques instead of explaining possible consequences of teaching without a lesson plan. For example, one candidate wrote: decrease knowledge and skills, decrease cooperation to the learners there were no correct point out of two points given. Another candidate wrote: through by using teaching aids, through by using teaching techniques, poor connection of ideas, through to make evaluation, failure to know stages, through by using time management and through to determine the challenge and weakness of learners where two points out of the seven given were correct. The correct points are: failure to know stages and poor connection of ideas. The candidates were supposed to provide correct responses such as: it may lead to failure in explaining the important concepts, it may results in teaching irrelevant materials hence boring, it may lead to disorder ideas hence causing misunderstanding, it may lead to low confidence of the teacher in the lesson and it may lead to the use of inappropriate pace and transitions of a lesson activities. Extract 12.2 is a sample of response from one of the candidates' in this category.

the lesson plan is not applicable in practical scientific practical Such as Chemistry or Bology practical the teach can teach without using lesson plan. Lastly Leaching can be conducted usthout lesson in case there is abnormal condition such as natural chaster aceurame. whereby teacher and Student teach student out of the class 200 m example during the Covid. 19 whereby teachers conducted teachig on line.
In short lesson is very important guide line of the teacher in The classroom but some time it can not be apphed.

Extract 12.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 12
In Extract12.2, the candidate wrote the types of teaching techniques instead of explain possible consequences of teaching without a lesson plan.

### 2.2.3 Question 13: Teaching and Learning

The question required the candidates to elaborate four ways of teaching students with different special needs in the inclusive classroom. This question
was attempted by 1,018 ( $48.5 \%$ ) candidates. In general, the performance in this question was good since as many as $89.9 \%$ of the candidates scored from 6 to 13.5 marks, as shown in Figure 13.


Figure 13: The candidates' performance on question 13
The Figure 13 indicates that, the candidates ( $13.1 \%$ ) had good performance as they are score range from 10.5 to 13.5 marks. These candidates had enough knowledge on the topic of teaching and learning particularly in inclusive classroom. They also understood the demand of the question and had good essay writing skills. Such capabilities enabled them to elaborate four ways of teaching students with different special needs in the inclusive classroom which are: making available education services/needs, use of strategies and techniques that enable them to modify type of instruction, application of various teaching aids, invitation of guest speaker and providing training to teachers concerning issues of special needs education. Extract 13.1 illustrates a sample of relevant response from one of the candidates.

13 . Students with special needs are those students that have different dis-order that inc Lie the deef, $s$ bring and those who hat knop the ability to walk, thus they need special care, from the teacher during teaching and learning processes due to their dis-order. There defferents. ways that can be used by teacher during teaching and learning processes in the classroom period as! follows.

By Insisting them to sit in front especi all those who had the problems of eye site, due to fact that a teacher use this method to help them during learning processes since than are nor able to see especially those with. law site.

By using. different teaching Sign's, that will facilitate them to understand the content Provided by the teacher hence they can gasp the knowledge in the classroom this is spe cial for those who can not hear ProRery this Method will help to aequine the knowledge

Proper use of enough voice, due to fact that in the class a teacher is supposed to use audible voice that will make the student with special need to hear the provided Contents by the teacher this is special for those who can not hear well so bey doing so we can help them so that they can understand what is intended to be delivery to thew hence accelerate good Rerformance to them.

Proper selection of teaching aid, de to fact that the proper selection of a good and well teaching aid that cor fy to be used to people (students) with special need that seems to be attractive large in sire that could make them to remember even if they can not hear by doing so we can help them lis duels way.

By giving them First Preority dung teaching and learning processes. due to fact that a teacher shouted give the first pres. rity student with special need during the teaching and learning Processes that wall Hake them to feel good as other Students with vo special need this will. help them to concentrate li' the class peority

Proper Classmanagement, due to fact that once the class is well aranged in Cause of desk a teacher can pass hr bough those Learners with special, need to chacle out what their doing "that Could facilitate the Performance of then by Conclusion there are so many Method Hat a teacher can use to teach. Students with, different special needs in the inclusive class room lice insisting. them to sit infront, the lose of audi de voice, by giving them First Preority in the chassroom Reno, so teachers Could consider the above whys during teaching proa.

Extract 13.1: A sample of correct responses to question 13

Moreover, some of the $76.8 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 6 to 10 marks responded by writing both correct and incorrect points while others presented fewer points in their explanations hence failed to score full marks. Most of the candidates under this category had low English Language proficiency and poor organization of essay writing skills. For instance, one candidate gave responses such as: much sport from you teachers and fellow, giving them first priority, through conducting smoothly environment, present of important services where two points out the four given were correct. The correct points are: giving them first priority and present of important services. Another candidate wrote: the teacher must improve the use of teaching aid, a teacher must be determine the problem of the learner in the class, teacher help the learner to involve well during the period, different work in the class where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points are: the teacher must improve the use of teaching aid and teacher help the learner to involve well during the period.

Also, the candidates' $(10.1 \%)$ who scored from 0 to 5.5 marks had insufficient knowledge on the subject matter while some candidates mentioned types of teaching methods and types of teaching practice instead of elaborating ways of teaching students with different special needs in the inclusive classroom. For example, one candidate wrote: single lesson practice, peer group teaching practice, micro teaching practice and block teaching practice there were no correct points out of four given. Another candidate wrote: group discussion, gallery walk, jig-saw, asking and answering question there were no correct points out of the three given. The candidates were supposed to write correct points such as: making available education services/needs, use of strategies and techniques that enable them to modify type of instruction, application of various teaching aids, invitation of guest speaker and providing training to teachers concerning issues of special needs education. Extract 13.2 is a sample of response from one of the candidates' in this category.


Extract 13.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 13

In Extract13.2, the candidate wrote teaching methods instead of elaborating ways of teaching students with different special needs in the inclusive classroom.

### 2.2.4 Question 14: Teaching Practice

The question demanded the candidates to elaborate five weaknesses of Teaching Practice Moderation. The question was attempted by 1,185 (56.5\%) candidates. Generally, performance in this question was good since as many as $80.3 \%$ of the candidates scored from 6 to 14 marks as shown in Figure 14.


Figure 14: The candidates' performance on question 14
Analysis from figure 14 shows that, the candidates (14.3\%) had good performance as they are scores range from 10.5 to 14 marks. These candidates had sufficient knowledge of the topic of teaching practice particularly on teaching practice moderation. They also understood the demand of the question by providing correct points, such capabilities enabled them to elaborate five weaknesses of Teaching Practice Moderation, such as: it is not possible to moderate all students, there can be biasness among moderators, the moderated students can likely get low marks than others, it does not involve tutors who are classroom practitioners and it is very expensive. Extract 14.1 illustrates a sample of the response from one of the candidates' in this category.

14 Teaching practice moderation is a sinuation where the assessor out of the college come and asses the student teacher dining the Block teaching practice (BTP) and award them point or score as they now. So teaching pro tue moderation has some of the weaknesses as follows below to the pallet that students with low pee formance cam not be seated to conduct teaching practice moderation. This make so pe student teachers a feelinfinior due to the selection process for expmple, Selecting one student teak. er from ten student teacher: prating The Criteria for selecting prating teaching moderation is also r a problem. This is because it involves a number of students in given college, so it is very difficult for student teacher to pe selected as teaching practice moderator:

Students who are in remote ness are or not selected. Sue to remoteness or far away area some of the Student teachers who ave for auk, fo $m$ the moderators can not be selected. For example


Extract 14.1: A sample of correct responses to question 14
Furthermore, some of the $66.0 \%$ of the candidates who scored from 6 to 10 marks mixed up correct and incorrect responses while some provided fewer
point hence gate average performance. One candidate wrote: student teachers are manipulated, it is freedom to involve practice moderation, every moderator has power, poor evaluation, lose the confidence where two points out of the five given were correct. The correct points are: every moderator has power and poor evaluation. Another candidate wrote: it is difficult to make friendship, no tutors are involved, it needs preparation and it is powerful where two points out of four given were correct. The correct points given are: it needs preparation and no tutors are involved.

Likewise, the candidates (19.7\%) who scored from 0 to 5.5 marks demonstrated little understanding of the tested question. Some candidates listed the disadvantages of block teaching practice instead of weaknesses of teaching practice moderation. For instance, one candidate wrote: it needs more preparation, needs capital, problem of transport where one point out of the three given was correct. The correct point was: it needs more preparation. Another candidate wrote: lack of enough moderators, Remoteness of the area, accommodation, wrong location of the school where one point out of four given was correct. The correct point was: lack of enough moderators. The candidates were supposed to provide correct responses which were: it is not possible to moderate all students, there can be biasness among moderators, the moderated students can likely get low marks than others, it does not involve tutors who are classroom practitioners and it is very expensive. Extract 14.2 shows a sample of the response from one of the candidates' in this category.



Extract 14.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 14

In Extract14.2, the candidate failed to understand the demand of the question hence wrote disadvantages of block teaching practice instead of elaborating the weaknesses of teaching practice moderation.

### 2.2.5 Question 15: Planning for Teaching

The question demanded the candidates to describe six components of the scheme of work. This question was attempted by 1,909 ( $91.0 \%$ ) candidates. The performance of the candidates in this question was good since as many as $96.0 \%$ of the candidates scored from 6 to 15 marks as shown in Figure 15.


Figure 15: The candidates' performance on question 15
Figure 15 shows that, the candidates ( $80.8 \%$ ) scored from 10.5 to 15 marks which was good performance. These candidates had good understanding on the concept tested, good writing essay skills as well as good organization of the response. The candidates were able to describe six components of the scheme of work which are: number of periods, teaching activities, learning activities, reference books, assessment, competence, main topics and objectives. Extract 15.1 illustrate a sample of the response from one of the candidates' in this category.

15: Scheme of work-1s the ling plan-pre
pared by a teacher for a week, month ar year to singlifying the process of teaching and learning. Those the scheme of workit specifies the subject to be quiped a carding the syllabus of the subject. Than the foil lowing are the component of scheme of work:

Comptence. This is the component of scheme of work, which used to shew the ability of the learner to applying the concept learned to the reaf-life sifuchor in the environment. Through conpteree if measure the understanding of the leanne $r$ in the suspect matter. Ecangde: Stu dent should have ability to apply the concept of Archimedels principle to the veal-life fifuchin.

Reading activities. This is the com ponemf of the scheme of work uhizh used to shew the achiness of the reacherdul ing beaching and learning process. Exam le, A teacher guife the shupentto Dew o strafe, To mate a groups discussion, and ass apply the concept fought in real-life setuchici.

Learning achivines. This is the part of scheme of work ulu2h used to show the achingies dove by the Student

15 during fecching and teaming process. Example Denostrafe, doing an ex perimerp, Relate The concept prourdeo of the reap life sifuction, ariel also to listen the demostre him prouzfed and achïites dove by a lecher in a classwom.

Assessment fools. This is the part of the scheme g work which used to soho w the trolls inhizh used by a reader to defermime the archivemenp of histher earner in the class; This is done in arden to make the assesnend altaried to the learner. Examples, Question and answered Test, bxaminchuc, Group discussion, porn uthly fests, weekly fest:

Pernods. This is the cen-ponenf goo Scheme of work using used fo shew the distribution of the section in the scheme of work; Through the period the leader Emprouzle 1 gie direction on how 10 choose methoel of teaching. Eranple, within a week topi of friction must Lave the eight (8) pended fo be dough in form thee class.

Remarks. This is the component in the the scheme of work whin used 10 Shews the concent of a Headier in a glen sub-fopic, or topic ulnar ha e been covered. Example, the reward

On the another note, the candidates ( $15.2 \%$ ) who scored from 6 to 10 marks showed partial knowledge of describing the components of the scheme of work. Some of them provided few points while others were confused by the term components and parts of the scheme of work hence mixed correct and incorrect points which lead to their average marks. For example, one candidate wrote: school calendar, date and year, week, reference and main body where two points out of the five given were correct. The correct points were: week and reference. Another candidate wrote: name of a teacher, subject, remarks and pictures where one point out of four was correct. The correct point was: remarks. Another candidate wrote: remarks, name of the teacher, competence, assessment, introduction, conclusion and competence where three points; remarks, competence and assessment were correct.

Furthermore, the candidates ( $4.0 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 5.5 marks had shown weakness in providing responses, including inability to give explanations, misconception between parts of scheme of work and components of scheme of work, failure to provide a clear elaboration of the points and low English Language proficiency. For example, one candidate provided incorrect points such as: main topic, general objectives, and specific objectives. Another candidate wrote: references, name of a teacher, class and subject name where out of the given points, one point which is reference was correct. The candidates were supposed to provide correct responses, which were: number of periods, teaching activities, learning activities, reference books, assessment, competence, main topics and objectives. Extract 15.2 is a sample of response from one of the candidates in this category.



Extract 15.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 15.
In Extract 15.2, the candidate failed to understand the demand of the question hence described parts of scheme of work instead of describing the components of the scheme of work.
2.2.6 Question 16: Curriculum Theory

The question required the candidates to explain five literacy problems likely to face the citizens of a nation whose education system lacks a sound curriculum. This question was attempted by 292 (13.9\%) candidates out of 2,097. In general, the performance of the candidates in this question was good since $69.5 \%$ of the candidates scored from 6 to 13.5 marks as shown in Figure 16.


Figure 16: The candidates' performance on question 16
Analysis of data in figure 16 shows that, the candidates (7.2\%) scored from 10.5 to 13.5 marks which was good performance. These candidates managed to explain five literacy problems likely face the citizens of a nation whose education system lacks a sound curriculum hence were able to provide relevant introduction and conclusion as well as the main body with correct explanations. The correct responses given were: the government will not get development for it will lack competent human resource, the learners will not get appropriate learning materials because of poor preparation, the teaching and learning process will not be organized as a result of having no defined teaching guidelines, the learners will fail to meet some social needs and the content to be covered will not be achieved and the target population will not get the intended content. Extract 16.1 is a sample of response from one of the candidates in this category.

16
Curriculum, is the all experience
that are prourded w the learners either in sidle the class or out side the class on In the society, There are three tipes of curriculum such that, formal curriculum, Is the types of curricuhere that is structure and can be imple mentored at special bulducg lite schools; Non formal Curiculun Are the Curriculum that is Implement out on d inside of the school but less structure ane has no spe cific Time, Hidden curículuen is the non academic but edeccateond sianifirants forexample, relatiship of the student interaction, sports and tomes, curriculum is very important in controlling and maintains quality education, since curriculuin shoo the frame work on provision of Eelucation

The following ans the problem io the people on selling education if they lack sound curriculum:

Fuctuation of learning ex Perience and contents, hence this may lead lo the acquicision of poor educator or inheritance of unrequre d edveaturn to the people, or produce lin of proffitanal people that are not well trained on the specific con lent or knowledge, therefore the Government chould work of slabilinig curnaum

16 It may lead to the loose required knowledge and may lead w the lack of specialist in different sector fore xample doctors, leaders and of he specilist that ane trained well with uncle the speafi \& curriculum

It may lead io the loss of Quality edulcetcon, that can be lesed on the compitition on employn ent $\mid$ other countries, since othe countries if they provide specific and required edrecation when the Compile in the Labour maker can no win and fail lo attain employme nt

It may lead lo the lack of innovation and creativities since the education that is provided is not specific due to change and lack of sound curriculum lo follow hence may lead to the eeonome comant and lower the rechnotos cal change ind chevelopment

It may lead to the descimi natun of the level and o different Quatifizalion, if the curriculum is poor and a not specific of the learners people may, con que aqua ire different Knoraledge on the same proffionol or sectors, that is bad in any county Thetose the curry, culm should be specific andstrons

16 Generally if the Nerriulum is sta bile and sound may influence of provision of quality educators Io the people and the nation may get specialist and people with good proffisunal that com in fluence development of the value It may lead is the drop out of the edcecation system since the curriculum is not suitable io mantain the required eduecetm it man lead io the loose of Gifteel one ralenteel chicdrem since the curriculum (s not suppor live, $k$ them and is promote their abies These the Government chord put more enfort on making the sound cterrculum since it is ven p important of the valued development

Extract 16.1: A sample of correct responses to question 16
On a separate note, the candidates ( $62.3 \%$ ) who scored from 6 to 10 marks showed moderate knowledge of the topic of Curriculum Theory particularly on the effects of any nation that lack a sound curriculum, hence scoring an average marks. For example, one candidate wrote: it leads to the under development in the society, it increase number of jobless and education provided does not adhere the society where one point out of the given points was: it leads to the under development in the society. Another candidate wrote: to provide unemployment, to increase poverty, the government not to improve development, the students not to pass well where the point the government not to improve development was the only correct point out of the given.

Furthermore, the candidates ( $30.5 \%$ ) who scored from 0 to 5.5 marks provided irrelevant answers as they failed to explain literacy problems likely to face the citizens of a nation whose education system lacks a sound curriculum. The candidates failed to correctly answer this question due to insufficient knowledge of the tested item. For example, one candidate wrote incorrect answers such as: poor infrastructure, poor government policy, shortage of materials. Another candidate wrote: lack of competent, education provided to citizen is without syllabus, the education provided in any time and any place and the education provided is not systematic. The candidates were supposed to provide correct points which are: the government will not get development for it will lack competent human resource, the learners will not get appropriate learning materials because of poor preparation, the teaching and learning process will not be organized as a result of having no defined teaching guidelines, the learners will fail to meet some social needs and the content to be covered will not be achieved and the target population will not get the intended content. Extract 16.2 is a sample of response from one of the candidates' in this category.

| 16. | fumculum, is the planned for unplanned |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | He class there ane tupes a cumulu a |
|  | whel ane fomal cirituluth, num imal |
|  | and liddeter mmuthe, गCi tollowng and |
|  | the literacy priblen luake feree the atron |
|  | or a nation wiose educate sestem louks |
|  | a sound oumioutur |
|  | pourbadgovemance, the cumutus lacts |
|  | a sound cumuluh' because doe eto had |
|  | gwemance flat cens fotupan the ptann |
|  | e achutives to develove Hat cans comel |
|  | He literacy problem that facio wite |
|  | utzeer of o nater - |
|  | Low level as suence and tee |
|  | TW wncutur thersel be litarae |
|  | to He low sutnce flat cani tare f |
|  | atren to lacke sound w cum |
|  | use due of fer achurtes foun |
|  | natos |
|  | Powa govemment support the gaverme |
|  | nt shaud be poor to supprit tli atoren |
|  | af the nothe that affect tei Letraces |
|  | of edherern' spestew becaust w the |
|  | uhi - atrzene ared fupport |
|  | The gwerment seat helo the arrieuld |
|  | to ase is the nati |
|  | poor cultural practices, the |
|  | affect the syotren to lack sound |
|  | natun beconse dut |
|  | w tie soncty fron the leader that |
|  | affect the befirw ate nathe to fac |



Extract 16.2: A sample of incorrect responses to question 16
In Extract 16.2, the candidate failed to understand the requirements of the question, so they explained the effects of illiteracy instead of literacy problems likely face the citizens of a nation whose education system lacks a sound curriculum.

### 3.0 ANALYSIS OF CANDIDATES PERFORMANCE PER TOPIC

The Curriculum and Teaching examination questions were set from 6 topics. The analysis of the candidates' performance in each topic shows that, the candidates had good performance in five topics, namely Planning for Teaching (98.4\%), Curriculum Materials (96.5\%), Teaching and Learning (87.2\%), Teaching Practice (80.2\%), Curriculum Development (77.2\%). Good performance in these topics was due to candidates' good knowledge on the subject matter, understanding the needs of the questions, English Language proficiency as well as essay writing skills. However, the topic of Curriculum Theory had an average performance of $69.5 \%$. This was due to the candidates' inadequate knowledge as well as low English Language proficiency. The summary of candidates' performance in questions and topics are shown in the appendix.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The analysis in each topic shows that the candidates' overall performance in all topics was good as 69.6 per cent of the candidates had an average score and good marks. The good performance was due to the candidates' ability to correctly respond to questions from various topics, implying that they had good mastery of the content assessed in the examination.

Besides, the National Examination Council of Tanzania expects that, this analytical report of candidates' responses will help student teachers and tutors to create awareness on the areas that need improvement in attempting examinations questions and ultimately to improve the subject performance. The strength and weakness of the candidates' responses should be considered as a learning area for both student teachers and tutors in their teaching and learning practices.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to improve the performance of the prospective candidates in this subject, the following are recommended;
(a) Student teachers should be trained in how to tackle examination questions through giving them frequent exercises, tests, examinations, quizzes and project works accompanied by immediate feedback to enhance assessment for learning.
(b) Colleges have to take measures to improve student-teachers' proficiency in the English Language particularly in sentences and grammar by insisting that Tutors and Student Teachers to use English Language as a means of communication during the teaching and learning process as well as in other activities outside the classroom.
(c) Tutors should insist on the use of various assessment and teaching strategies suggested in the syllabus such as student portfolio, discussions, debate, role-play for critical analysis and case study in teaching the topic of Planning for Teaching whose performance was average for two consecutive years.
(d) Tutors should use a variety of references and supplementary materials such as journal, articles and reflective essays in order to maintain high performance attained in most of the topics as well as strengthen the knowledge on topic with average performance.

SUMMARY OF THE CANDIDATES' PERFORMANCE IN CURRICULUM AND TEACHING SUBJECT

| S/N | Topic | Question <br> Number | Performance in each Question (\%) | Average performance per topic (\%) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Planning for Teaching | 3 | 99.4 | 98.4 | Good |
|  |  | 12 | 99.9 |  |  |
|  |  | 15 | 96 |  |  |
| 2 | Curriculum Materials | 5 | 98.6 | 96.5 | Good |
|  |  | 10 | 94.2 |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | 96.7 |  |  |
| 3 | Teaching and Learning | 1 | 97.2 | 87.2 | Good |
|  |  | 4 | 99.4 |  |  |
|  |  | 7 | 62.3 |  |  |
|  |  | 13 | 89.9 |  |  |
| 4 | Teaching Practice | 14 | 80.2 | 80.2 | Good |
| 5 | Curriculum Development | 2 | 98.3 | 77.2 | Good |
|  |  | 6 | 62 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 76.3 |  |  |
|  |  | 9 | 74.5 |  |  |
| 6 | Curriculum Theory | 16 | 69.5 | 69.6 | Average |

